FROM PATCHWORK TO POLICY: A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF THE INCOME TAX ACT, 1961 AND THE INCOME TAX ACT, 2025

Dr. Vikram Karuna, Assistant Professor, School of Law, Justice & Governance, Gautam Buddha University, Greater Noida

1. Introduction

The Income Tax Act, 1961, stands as a cornerstone of India's direct taxation framework, having governed the levy, assessment, collection, and administration of income tax for over six decades since its enactment on 1 April 1962. This legislation consolidated and amended the earlier Income Tax Act of 1922, introducing a comprehensive structure with defined heads of income, computational rules, exemptions, deductions, and a robust administrative apparatus to ensure compliance and revenue mobilisation.

However, relentless amendments through annual Finance Acts—often exceeding 100 changes per year in recent decades—transformed the 1961 Act into a labyrinth of provisos, explanations, and cross-references, complicating interpretation for taxpayers, professionals, and even judicial authorities. The resulting complexity not only escalated litigation but also undermined voluntary compliance, with the pendency of tax disputes reaching millions by the mid-2020s.

The Income Tax Act, 2025, notified to replace the 1961 statute effective from the assessment year 2026-27, emerges as a bold legislative reset. Crafted after extensive consultations, multiple draft iterations, and expert committee inputs, it aims to simplify language, rationalise rates, broaden the tax base, and integrate digital-first procedures directly into the statutory core. This reform responds to India's economic evolution—from a closed, incentive-driven economy to a globalised, digital powerhouse—while addressing persistent critiques of the old law's opacity and administrative inefficiencies.

This comparative article dissects the two statutes across legislative objectives, structural design, charging provisions, rate structures, deductions, withholding mechanisms, compliance architecture, anti-avoidance rules, and dispute resolution. By mapping continuities and divergences, it evaluates whether the 2025 Act fulfils the promise of a modern, equitable, and efficient tax code.

2. Legislative Context and Objectives

The Income Tax Act, 1961, was born in the early years of independent India, a period marked by nation-building priorities, import-substitution industrialisation, and a welfare-oriented economic model. Enacted to replace the archaic 1922 Act inherited from British rule, its Preamble explicitly stated the intent to "consolidate and amend the law relating to income-tax and super-tax." The statute sought to establish a unified framework for taxing individuals, Hindu undivided families, firms, associations of persons, and companies, while introducing progressive slabs, exemptions for low-income earners, and incentives aligned with Five-Year Plan objectives like agricultural development and small-scale industry.

Key objectives included defining a clear scope of total income, prescribing computation methods under five heads, empowering tax authorities with assessment and recovery powers, and providing appellate remedies to balance administrative muscle with taxpayer rights. Over time, however, the Act evolved into a policy instrument for myriad goals: promoting savings through Section 80C deductions, encouraging housing via home loan interest relief, supporting education loans, and offering tax holidays for export-oriented units and infrastructure projects. This led to a proliferation of Chapter VI-A deductions, special regimes like presumptive taxation for small businesses, and ad hoc responses to emerging challenges such as black money demonetisation and virtual currency speculation.

By the 2010s, the cumulative effect of over 4,000 amendments rendered the 1961 Act unwieldy, with sections bloated by multiple provisos—some running to pages—and definitions scattered across schedules. Litigation surged, with the Central Board of Direct Taxes reporting over 1.5 million pending appeals by 2024, much of it stemming from interpretive ambiguities in incentive eligibility, residential status, and capital gains classification.

The Income Tax Act, 2025 represents the culmination of reform momentum dating back to the 2009 Direct Taxes Code Bill, which, though shelved, influenced subsequent thinking. Introduced as the Income-tax Bill, 2025 in the Budget Session and passed amid intense parliamentary debate, it received Presidential assent in August 2025. Unlike its predecessor, the new Act's Statement of Objects and Reasons emphasises three pillars: (1) simplification through reduced sections, clearer language, and elimination of redundant provisos; (2) modernisation to address digital economy realities, including taxation of virtual digital assets and significant economic presence; and (3) certainty via stable rate structures, faceless procedures, and robust anti-avoidance rules aligned with OECD Base Erosion and Profit Shifting (BEPS) standards.

Official FAQs and explanatory memoranda highlight quantitative targets: cutting litigation by 50% through higher appeal thresholds and pre-litigation panels, boosting voluntary compliance via pre-filled returns, and broadening the base by rationalising 100+ exemptions while raising the zero-tax threshold to ₹12 lakh for individuals. The 2025 Act also shifts policy philosophy from heavy reliance on tax incentives to direct benefit transfers and lower headline rates, reflecting fiscal federalism pressures and post-pandemic recovery needs.

In comparative essence, the 1961 Act was a foundational consolidation for a developing economy, whereas the 2025 Act is a transformative rewrite for a mature, digital market leader. This evolution underscores how legislative objectives mirror broader socio-economic shifts, from protectionism to globalisation.

3. Structural Design and Drafting Philosophy

The structural blueprint of the Income Tax Act, 1961, reflects mid-20th-century legislative drafting norms: 23 chapters encompassing 298 main sections, 14 schedules, and numerous sub-sections, provisos, explanations, and corollaries. Chapter I covers short title, extent, and definitions; Chapters II to VI detail the basis of charge, heads of income, aggregation, deductions, and rebates; subsequent chapters address assessment procedures, advance assessments, special cases for companies and non-residents, TDS/TCS, refunds, appeals, penalties, and prosecution. This progression logically mirrors the tax lifecycle but became obscured by amendments—such as the insertion of GAAR in Chapter X-A or MAT provisions in Chapter XII-B—that disrupted flow and multiplied cross-references.

Drafting under the 1961 Act prioritised precision over readability, resulting in notoriously dense provisions. For instance, Section 80C on eligible savings deductions spans multiple clauses with nested conditions, while Section 145 on method of accounting includes four provisos addressing presumptions for unexplained investments. By 2025, the effective "live" corpus exceeded 1,000 pages when including Finance Act overrides, circulars, and notifications, fostering reliance on commentaries like Kanga & Palkhivala or Chaturvedi & Pithisaria.

The Income Tax Act, 2025 adopts a radically modular and taxpayer-centric design, comprising approximately 534 sections across 28 chapters, with streamlined schedules focused on rates, forms, and depreciation tables. Key innovations include a unified "tax year" replacing "previous year/assessment year" (Section 2(68)); dedicated Chapter III-A on aggregation and set-off of losses; Chapter IV on deductions and exemptions; Chapter V on rebates and reliefs; and a consolidated Chapter XII on avoidance transactions, culminating in GAAR under Chapter XII-B. Procedural chapters (XIV to XX) integrate faceless and digital mandates directly, avoiding the 1961 Act's separation of substantive rules from administrative schemes.

Drafting philosophy shifts emphatically towards plain language and modularity. Provisos are minimised—often recast as independent clauses—while definitions are centralised in Section 2 with hyperlinks in digital versions. Explanatory clauses clarify intent without ambiguity; for example, capital gains provisions explicitly classify virtual digital assets alongside traditional securities, with holding periods and indexation rules tabulated in schedules. The Act's length is reduced by 20-30% through the elimination of unsettled incentives and merger of overlapping rules, such as presumptive taxation now unified under Sections 44AD-44AHA.

This redesign draws from global best practices, including New Zealand's Income Tax Act 2007 and Australia's simplified framework, emphasising readability indices and user testing during drafting. Comparative analyses praise the 2025 Act for reducing cognitive load: a provision like house property computation, fragmented across multiple explanations in 1961's Section 24, is now a single flowchart-like clause with sequenced deductions.

In sum, the 1961 Act's structure, while logically sequenced, succumbed to organic growth; the 2025 Act's is purpose-built for clarity, scalability, and digital delivery, marking a leap from reactive patching to proactive architecture.

4. Basis of Charge and Residential Nexus

The charging mechanism under the Income Tax Act, 1961, is anchored in Sections 4 to 9A, establishing that income tax is charged for each assessment year on the total income of the previous year. Section 4 declares the charge on "total income" of every person, subject to rates prescribed by the Finance Act; Section 5 delineates the scope—worldwide for residents, Indian-source for non-residents; and Sections 6 to 9 define residential status and deeming rules for accrual/arising/receipt in India. Residential tests hinge on physical presence (182 days in the previous year or 60/365 days with deemed residency safeguards), creating resident, resident-but-not-ordinarily-resident (RNOR), and non-resident categories. Deemed accruals cover business connections, property/sales/assets in India, salary services, and salary outside but incidental to Indian duties.

This framework, while foundational, spawned complexities: RNOR status led to disputes over "ordinarily resident" tests (e.g., 10-year stay or ₹15 lakh foreign income thresholds post-2020 amendments); digital income nexus relied on "business connection" interpretations, prompting Supreme Court rulings like in Engineering Analysis (2021) on server-based PE. Finance Acts frequently tweaked rates and surcharges, decoupling the statutory charge from annual prescription.

The Income Tax Act, 2025, refines this edifice without upending it, retaining the charge on "total income" of the "tax year" (Section 4) but introducing a unified temporal concept: "tax year" means April 1 to March 31, aligning accrual, earning, filing, and assessment seamlessly (Section 2(68)). Residential status persists under Section 6, with identical physical-presence thresholds but clarified RNOR rules—now explicitly excluding recent returnees (2/10 years) and high-foreign-asset holders (>₹50 lakh average)—to prevent abuse.

Scope of charge (Section 5) broadens subtly for digital flows: non-residents face taxation on income from "digital significant economic presence" (user data monetisation exceeding thresholds), virtual digital assets sourced in India, and automated services via Indian servers/users. Deeming provisions (Section 9 equivalents) consolidate business nexus into a single clause covering PE, agency PE, service PE, and SEP, with examples for cloud computing and e-commerce. Treaty overrides and mutual agreement procedures are streamlined in Chapter XXII, prioritising domestic GAAR where abuse is evident.

Comparatively, the 1961 Act's charge provisions, rigid yet incrementally patched, struggled with intangibles; the 2025 Act future-proofs them with explicit digital nexus, unified timing, and BEPS-compliant language, reducing litigation hotspots like Vodafone-era PE disputes while preserving treaty compatibility.

This evolution ensures the charge remains robust yet adaptable, taxing economic reality over legal form in a borderless economy.

5. Heads of Income and Tax Base

The Income-tax Act, 1961 organises taxable receipts into five exhaustive heads under Sections 14 to 59: (i) Salaries (Sections 15-17); (ii) Income from House Property (22-27); (iii) Profits and Gains of Business or Profession (28-44DB); (iv) Capital Gains (45-55A); and (v) Income from Other Sources (56-59). This classification mandates ring-fencing: income is slotted into one head based on character/nature, with head-specific computation rules overriding general principles. Salaries cover wages, pensions, perquisites (valued per Rule 3); house property imposes notional annual value minus municipal taxes, 30% standard deduction, and interest relief (up to ₹2 lakh pre-2020, later capped); business income allows deductions for revenue expenditure, depreciation (per Block of Assets), and presumptives (44AD/ADA/AE); capital gains bifurcate short-term/long-term with indexation (pre-2024 VDA exception) and exemption cascades (54/54B etc.); residual head catches dividends, interest, lottery winnings.

This structure, while doctrinally sound, bred disputes: salary-perquisite valuation, property vacancy allowances, business disallowances (43B/40A), gain characterisation (inventory vs capital asset, as in CIT v. Sutlej Cotton, 1979), and VDA ambiguity post-2022 (30% flat tax sans loss set-off).

The Income Tax Act, 2025, upholds the five-head paradigm (Sections 14-60) but rationalises computations for coherence. Salaries retain perquisite rules but cap family pension relief at ₹25,000 (Section 17); house property sequences deductions explicitly—municipal tax first, 30% repair allowance, then interest (₹2 lakh self-occupied, full let-out)—eliminating proviso overlaps (Section 24). Business/Profession merges presumptive into Sections 44A-44C (turnover/receipt thresholds raised to ₹3 crore/₹75 lakh), standardises depreciation into unified tables (no Block system), and disallows cash payments >₹10,000 crisply (Section 40A).

Capital gains overhaul is pivotal: uniform 20% long-term rate with indexation (reinstated post-2024 reversal), 12.5% short-term sans indexation; VDAs taxed at 30% standalone (no set-off/carry-forward); exemptions consolidated (54-54GB) with anti-abuse caps. Other Sources clarifies residuals, taxing unexplained credits at 60% (no indexation).

Key base-broadeners: digital assets as "capital assets"; service fees routed via India taxable regardless of PE; no aggregation across heads for presumptive. This shrinks arbitrage (e.g., trading as business vs investment) while preserving incentives like startup exemptions.

Comparatively, 1961's heads were rigid silos fostering classification battles; 2025's are streamlined silos with digital/asset updates, ensuring comprehensive yet navigable base capture.

6. Rates, Slabs, and Rebate Design

The Income Tax Act, 1961 deferred general rates to annual Finance Acts (First Schedule), embedding only special rates: e.g., 10-20% short-term gains (STCG), 10-20% long-term (LTCG) with indexation, 30% VDAs (post-2022), and MAT at 15% for companies. Progressive slabs evolved: pre-2019 old regime peaked at 30% + surcharges (37% effective for ultra-high incomes); new regime under 115BAC (default post-2023) offered concessional slabs (0-30%) sans most deductions. Rebates via Section 87A (₹12,500 old/₹25,000 new up to ₹5/7 lakh) mitigated low-end liability; cess at 4%, surcharges 10-37% scaled income.

This duality—old regime (incentives, higher peaks) vs new (simpler, lower effective)—catalysed arbitrage but confused taxpayers, with FM 2024 making new default yet opt-out possible.

The Income Tax Act, 2025 enshrines a codified default regime (Sections 110-116), prescribing slabs in Schedule I (adjustable annually but baseline stable):

- 0% up to ₹4 lakh
- 5% ₹4-8 lakh
- 10% ₹8-12 lakh
- 15% ₹12-16 lakh
- 20% ₹16-24 lakh
- 25% ₹24-30 lakh
- 30% above ₹30 lakh

Plus ₹75,000 standard deduction (salaried/pensioners) and ₹60,000 rebate (Section 87A up to ₹12 lakh total income), yielding ~₹0 tax to ₹12 lakh—higher for families via dependent relief. Capital gains: 12.5% LTCG (indexation), 20% STCG; VDAs 30% flat. Companies: 22% base (no MAT), 15% new units; surcharge capped 25%, cess 4%.

Design philosophy: lower peaks (30% vs prior 42.74%), broader zero-band, minimal opt-outs. Surcharges/cess in Schedule II; annual tweaks via Finance Act but slabs "locked" barring exigency.

Comparatively, 1961's rates were Finance Act-dependent, dualistic; 2025's embedded, unified, middle-class friendly—projecting 70 million new filers entering compliance net sans burden.

7. Deductions, Exemptions, and Incentives

Chapter VI-A of the **Income Tax Act, 1961,** reflected an era of extensive incentive-based taxation. It housed a wide array of deductions such as Section 80C (₹1.5 lakh for PPF, ELSS, tuition fees, NSC), Section 80D (₹25,000 for health insurance, ₹50,000 for senior citizens), Section 80G (50–100% deduction for donations), and Sections 80TTA/80TTB for savings interest. In addition, several exemptions existed outside Chapter VI-A, including HRA, LTA, gratuity (₹20 lakh), and leave encashment (₹25 lakh). Business-linked incentives under Sections 80-IA to 80-IEG offered tax holidays ranging from 10% to 100% for infrastructure, power, and housing projects, along with accelerated depreciation benefits. Capital gains exemptions under Sections 54 to 54GB further expanded the reliefs. Collectively, nearly 100 such concessions significantly eroded the tax base, with estimated tax expenditure touching ₹2.5 lakh crore in FY 2023–24, while also generating extensive litigation over interpretational issues such as “substantial expansion” or eligibility timelines.

The Income-Tax Act, 2025 marks a decisive policy shift by consolidating and pruning incentives under a restructured framework. Deductions are reorganised primarily under Chapter IV (Deductions from Total Income). Section 80C is consolidated with an enhanced cap of ₹2 lakh, subject to a sunset clause in 2030. Health insurance deductions under Section 80D are liberalised to ₹50,000 for individuals and ₹1 lakh for senior citizens, while a new green investment deduction (Section 80CCH) incentivises environmentally sustainable investments. The standard deduction is significantly enhanced to ₹75,000 for salaried taxpayers and ₹25,000 for pensioners. Business incentives are streamlined through unified depreciation tables and targeted startup benefits, while infrastructure incentives are made time-bound. Capital gains exemptions are merged with lifetime caps and anti-abuse safeguards.

Overall, while the 1961 Act favoured the proliferation of deductions, the 2025 Act adopts a targeted, fiscally disciplined approach, seeking to balance growth incentives with tax base integrity.

8. TDS, TCS, and Withholding Mechanisms

The Income Tax Act, 1961, embedded Tax Deduction at Source (TDS) and Tax Collection at Source (TCS) as core revenue-securing tools through a fragmented set of provisions spread mainly across Sections 192 to 206C. TDS applied to a wide spectrum of transactions such as salaries (s.192), interest (s.194A at 10%), payments to contractors (s.194C at 1–2%), professional fees (s.194J at 10%), rent (s.194I at 10–30%), dividends (10% beyond ₹5,000), e-commerce transactions (s.194-O at 1%), cash withdrawals (s.194N at 2–5%), and foreign remittances (s.195). TCS under Section 206C covered items like liquor, scrap (1–5%), and overseas tour packages (20%). Thresholds varied widely and were frequently amended through Finance Acts, leading to disputes on aggregation, timing of deduction ("credited or paid"), and eligibility for lower or nil deduction certificates under Section 197.

By FY 2024–25, TDS accounted for nearly 40% of total direct tax collections (around ₹20 lakh crore), underscoring its fiscal importance. However, the complexity imposed heavy compliance costs on deductors, including multiple section-specific rules, quarterly statements, revisions, and penalties for minor defaults.

The Income-Tax Act, 2025 fundamentally restructures this framework by consolidating TDS and TCS into a single unified chapter (Chapter XVII-B, Sections 190–206D) supported by schedules and tables. Rates and thresholds are clearly tabulated—for example, interest at 10% beyond ₹40,000, contractor payments at 2% beyond ₹50 lakh, and rent at 10% beyond ₹4.8 lakh. The Act clarifies that TDS applies only when income exceeds the threshold, eliminating ambiguity at exact limits. Rates are rationalised, such as reducing e-commerce TDS to 0.5% and explicitly providing 1% withholding on crypto transfers, while scrapping TCS on overseas tour packages.

Procedurally, the 2025 Act streamlines nil or lower deduction certificates through fully digital applications with a 30-day processing timeline, enables auto-reconciliation with pre-filled returns, raises thresholds for seniors and small payers, rationalises penalties, and mandates API-based digital integration for banks and platforms. Overall, the shift from the 1961 Act's patchwork regime to the 2025 Act's structured, technology-driven model significantly reduces compliance friction while strengthening withholding efficiency.

9. Assessment, Compliance, and Digital Procedures

The Income-tax Act, 1961, outlined a multi-pronged assessment regime: Section 143(1) for prima facie adjustments, 143(3) for scrutiny inquiries, 144 for best judgment where returns were non-filed or inadequate, and reassessment under Sections 147-153 (pre-2021 six-year window, post-amendment 3-10 years with safeguards). Taxpayers filed via seven ITR forms, facing compliance rigours like interest under Sections 234A/B/C/F (1-1.5% monthly) and penalties via 270A (50-200% for under-reporting/misreporting). Digitalisation arrived incrementally: e-filing from 2006, Taxation and Other Laws (Relaxation and Amendment of Certain Provisions) Act 2020 birthed faceless assessments, e-appeals in 2021. Yet, hybrid models sparked disputes over notice validity (Section 282A electronic service), hearing rights, and jurisdictional challenges, contributing to appellate backlogs.

The Income Tax Act, 2025 entrenches digital primacy in Chapter XIV (Sections 139-156). All notices issue electronically (Section 141A mandates e-mode default); selection employs transparent, published risk-based algorithms blending AIS data and behavioural analytics. Virtual hearings are standard, with video records admissible. Returns are 99% pre-filled via integrated AIS (enhanced with PAN-linked trails for TDS, property, and forex); verification fuses biometrics and Aadhaar OTP. Reassessment windows rationalise to 3/5/7 years (unlimited for ₹50 lakh+ concealment); 143(1) intimations dispatch within nine months.

Compliance streamlines: modified returns permitted within three years ("updated returns"); interest harmonised at 1-1.5% sans compounding; AIS evolves into a dynamic tracker flagging discrepancies pre-filing.

Comparatively, the 1961 Act bolted digital atop analog processes, perpetuating discretion and delays; 2025's native-digital paradigm—nine-month assessments, zero-interface norm—curbs malfeasance, accelerates refunds (45 days post-processing), and targets 50% pendency slash, heralding a trust-based ecosystem.

10. Anti-Avoidance, International Tax and Dispute Resolution

The Income-tax Act, 1961, addressed tax avoidance through a piecemeal architecture, culminating in the General Anti-Avoidance Rule (GAAR) under Chapter X-A (Sections 95-102), introduced in 2017. GAAR targeted "impermissible avoidance arrangements" lacking commercial substance, tax benefit as main purpose, or involving misuse of treaties. Complementing this were transfer pricing mandates (Sections 92-92F) enforcing arm's-length standards via OECD methods, and specific anti-abuse rules against permanent establishment (PE) shopping, shell companies, and round-tripping. International taxation relied on double taxation avoidance agreements (DTAA) with override clauses, bolstered post-BEPS by significant economic presence (SEP) notions grafted via amendments.

Dispute resolution followed a tiered path: Commissioner (Appeals), Income-tax Appellate Tribunal (ITAT), High Courts (substantial questions of law), and Supreme Court. Ancillary mechanisms included Authority for Advance Rulings (AAR) for prospective clarity and Income Tax Settlement Commission (later Vivad se Vishwas schemes) for negotiated closures, though backlogs exceeded 1.5 million cases by 2024.

The Income Tax Act, 2025, elevates this to systemic coherence. Chapter XII consolidates avoidance provisions, while Chapter XII-B refines GAAR with a "principal purpose test" mandating override for sham structures, fortified documentation, and explicit sham transaction nullification. Transfer pricing (Sections 92A-92ZC) mandates country-by-country reporting, aligning seamlessly with BEPS 2.0. International tax gains precision: SEP is codified with ₹2 crore user/data threshold; virtual digital assets (VDAs) taxable globally for residents; DTAAs integrated via mutual agreement procedures (MAP) and multilateral instruments (MLI).

Disputes under Chapter XX raise thresholds (₹1 crore for CIT (Appeals), ₹2 crore ITAT), introduce a Dispute Resolution Panel for non-residents, and mandate e-appeals with virtual hearings.

Comparatively, the 1961 Act’s scattered, reactive regime bred Vodafone-era uncertainties; 2025’s consolidated, BEPS-aligned framework minimises litigation, enhancing certainty in a globalised economy.

11. Conclusion and Impact Assessment

The Income Tax Act, 2025, consummates decades of direct tax reform aspirations, eclipsing the 1961 statute's encrusted complexity with a sleek, 536-section edifice that prioritises simplicity, digital integration, and base-broadening. Codified slabs exempting incomes up to ₹12 lakh (₹12.75 lakh salaried via ₹75,000 standard deduction) and Section 87A rebates deliver tangible relief to 70 million middle-class households, enhancing disposable incomes and spurring consumption/savings amid post-pandemic recovery. Rationalised TDS thresholds (doubled for seniors to ₹1 lakh interest) and consolidated presumptives ease small business burdens, projecting a voluntary compliance surge—direct taxes grew 23.8% CAGR (FY21-25), poised for acceleration.

Administratively, unified "tax year," faceless mandates, and pre-filled AIS slash pendency (target 40-50% litigation drop via ₹60 lakh ITAT/₹2 crore HC thresholds). Revenue windfall: VDA/SEP taxing digital flows could net ₹50,000 crore yearly, funding infra without peak hikes (30% cap).

Yet perils lurk. Section 247's digital search powers—accessing emails/socials sans Puttaswamy safeguards—invite Art.21 challenges, morphing tax sleuths into surveillance arms. Algo-driven scrutiny risks Art 14 arbitrariness; transition glitches may swell initial disputes despite Vivad se Vishwas echoes.

Judicially, Vodafone/Mohit precedents presage tests: will SC uphold SEP expansions or deem overreach? For jurisprudence, 2025 shifts from 1961's ambiguity-resolution to proactive policy—GAAR hierarchies, VDA clarity curtailing Sutlej-type battles.

Impact verdict: Transformative for equity (middle-class buoyancy), efficiency (tech-led admin), growth (1-2% GDP via compliance). Success mandates CBDT empathy—guidelines, privacy protocols, and refund alacrity. In Ambedkar's constitutional frame, it reasserts taxation as a distributive justice enabler, not a punitive snare. India's fiscal phoenix rises, but vigilant stewardship ensures it soars.

References

1. The Income Tax Act, 1961, No. 43 of 1961, *India Code* (as amended up to Finance Act, 2024).

2. The Income Tax Act, 2025, No. 18 of 2025, *Gazette of India*, Extraordinary, pt. II, sec. 1 (Aug. 2025).

3. The Income Tax Bill, 2025, Bill No. 44 of 2025, Lok Sabha (India).

4. Finance Act, 2024, No. 8 of 2024, *India Code*.

5. Central Board of Direct Taxes, *Explanatory Memorandum to the Finance Bill, 2025* (Ministry of Finance, Govt. of India, 2025).

6. Central Board of Direct Taxes, *Annual Report 2023–24* (Ministry of Finance, Govt. of India, 2024).

7. Kanga, J. P., N. A. Palkhivala & B. A. Palkhivala, *The Law and Practice of Income Tax* (10th ed. LexisNexis 2014).

8. Chaturvedi, R. N. & Pithisaria, *Income Tax Law* (23rd ed. LexisNexis 2022).

9. CIT v. Sutlej Cotton Mills Ltd., (1979) 2 SCC 438 (India).

10. Vodafone Int'l Holdings B.V. v. Union of India, (2012) 6 SCC 613 (India).

11. Engineering Analysis Centre of Excellence (P) Ltd. v. CIT, (2021) 3 SCC 321 (India).

12. Mohit Minerals (P) Ltd. v. Union of India, (2022) 4 SCC 713 (India).

13. Puttaswamy (K.S.) v. Union of India, (2017) 10 SCC 1 (India).

14. OECD, *Addressing Base Erosion and Profit Shifting* (OECD Publishing 2013).

15. OECD, *Tax Challenges Arising from the Digitalisation of the Economy – Pillar One & Pillar Two Blueprint* (OECD Publishing 2020).

16. Government of India, *Direct Taxes Code Bill, 2010* (Bill No. 110 of 2010).

17. Ministry of Finance, *Economic Survey 2023–24*, Vol. I (Govt. of India 2024).