CORPORATE GOVERNANCE IN THE INDIAN CAPITAL MARKET: SEBI'S ROLE UNDER THE COMPANIES ACT, 2013

Nishant Matto, Symbiosis Law School, Noida

Deepesh Chand Paswan, Symbiosis Law School, Noida

ABSTRACT

This abstract discusses the dual-regulator regime for corporate accountability in the Indian capital market, with a focus on the intertwining functions of the Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) and the Companies Act, 2013. SEBI administers governance primarily through the SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015 (LODR) which replaced Clause 49 of the previous Listing Agreement. The LODR Regulations impose high, market-based requirements on listed entities, and they include higher disclosure norms; require the separation of the roles of Chairperson and Chief Executive Officer; and provide for oversight of Related Party Transactions (RPTs). In this parallel approach, the Companies Act sets the floor for governance, and SEBI provides an alternative governance standard for companies raising public money - it protects the public investor interest; cooperation and transparency; and years of market integrity against extreme or in touch business misconduct. There is a clear relationship alignment, and it is critical to maintaining investor confidence and accelerating the adoption of global best practices in governance within Indian corporations.

Keywords: Corporate Governance (CG), Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI), Companies Act, 2013, Indian Capital Market, Listed Companies, Securities Market

Introduction

The Indian securities market acts as a dynamic factor of economic growth and primarily relies on quality corporate governance. In the wake of globalization and enhanced scrutiny by investors, transparency, accountability, and ethical conduct become sine qua non for listed companies. The research project will focus on the important role of SEBI in corporate governance in India within the framework of the Companies Act, 2013. While the Companies Act of 2013 introduced significant reform to improve the standard of corporate governance, SEBI, the market regulator, has worked toward enhancing investor protection and the efficiency and fairness of the market. The project will delve into how these two pillars interact to provide a suitable mechanism for corporate governance through SEBI, making use of provisions under the Companies Act, 2013. The other points of analysis are expected to cover the key regulatory interventions made by SEBI, such as those concerning board composition, independent directors, audit committees, related party transactions, and disclosure requirements. Also, the research would analyze the effectiveness of these measures in terms of promotion of ethical business practices, protection of shareholders' interests, and building investors' confidence. By understanding various phases of the regulatory environment, the project will offer academics a generalized knowledge of SEBI's contribution to the corporate governance paradigm of the Indian capital markets at large and its influence on the overall health and stability of the economy.

Statement of problem

The SEBI's regulatory oversight in promoting the corporate governance standards provided under the Companies Act, 2013, for the Indian capital market remains a million-dollar question. Even while the act and SEBI regulations are perceived to enhance transparency, accountability, and investor protection, continuous experiences of inept ethical conduct, irrational disclosures, and non-independence of boards imply that there might exist a grey area in their implementation and enforcement. The study seeks to assess the extent to which actions taken by SEBI, in the broader legislative framework of the Companies Act, 2013, have translated, or not, into real improvements in the corporate governance norms of the listed Indian companies and regaining the investor confidence.

Literature Review

This literature review considering academic articles, newspaper accounts, and business magazines, suggests that SEBI is that pivotal agency in deciding corporate governance in the Indian capital market, particularly with regard to the Companies Act, 2013. Scholars working on the Act usually examine the legislative prerogative behind the Act with that in the light of some international benchmarks, detailing how there is a heightened focus of late on independent directors, audit committees, and related party transactions. The reports of newspapers and magazines paint a near-to-real illustration of the various discourses surrounding implementation under the Act, with instances of corporate governance failures documented and subsequently punished by SEBI. SEBI, in its conduct, is thus caught in a battle between enforcing strict regulation and keeping the business environment congenial in general.

Some common concerns shared by these sources remain, the evolving nature of corporate governance in India stemming from technological advances, globalisation, and the increasing assertiveness of institutional investors. information asymmetry, the golden age of digital financial influencers, and the exponentially rising tenacity of financial instruments, with a view to protecting the interest of investors and upholding market integrity.

Research Methodology

Firstly, it will provide insight and comprehensive data through semi-structured interviews of key stakeholders and thorough analysis of different documents such as SEBI regulations, company annual reports, and financial data-the data would provide qualitative insights into practical challenges and quantitative measures of the regulatory impact.

Second, the qualitative data should be analyzed by thematic analysis and quantitative data by statistical method such as regression, allowing for a rebuttable assessment of the effectiveness of SEBI.

Lastly, purposive and snowball sampling would be used to ensure a larger representation from different companies and interviewees, but there would be some limitations regarding, but not limited to, the access of data; however, every effort will be made to adhere to strict, ethical standards in achieving confidentiality and use of data."

Research questions

1. To what extent has SEBI's implementation of regulations based on the Companies Act, 2013 absolutely served within the Indian listed companies, and how are they able to instill among

their corporate compliance best practices more transparency and accountability?

2. In what manner is the regulatory framework of SEBI, in combination with the Companies Act, 2013, influencing the composition and general functioning of boards of directors in Indian listed companies with specific reference to independent directors?

3. What are the key challenges and limitations faced by SEBI, and what are some of the potential reforms that it should bring in order to be further effective in safeguarding investor interests and promoting a fair and efficient capital market?

Hypothesis

1.Strengthened regulations of SEBI in consonance with the Companies Act, 2013, are positively correlated with the increasing presence of independent directors on boards of Indian listed companies.

2.The enhanced disclosure requirements laid down by SEBI, in consonance with the Companies Act, 2013, foster increased transparency in related party transactions of listed Indian companies.

3.The SEBI regulations developed concerning corporate governance, which complement the Companies Act, have enabled investors to measure their confidence in the Indian stock market.

Result and discussion

SEBI, in its regulations based on the Companies Act of 2013, pushes the standards for corporate governance of Indian listed companies to a higher level. Contemplated reforms involve higher independence of boards, greater requirements for disclosures, and tighter controls of audit committees for initiating transparency and accountability. While the restructuring permits better oversight due to the streamlined and simplified compliance of the SEBI listing obligations and requirements, together with the Companies Act, independent directors had sets of clearly defined parameters of functioning, along with checks and balances over them, to ensure management accountability. Apart from this, SEBI's action-oriented enforcement and imposed penalties have been catalysts for a broader transition that moves companies toward significant compliance, obligating them to maintain internal controls and best practices in risk management. Although these measures fall under a barrage of challenges, this regulatory framework certainly inspires greater investor confidence since it, in turn, encourages a more ethical and effective capital market.

Regulations of SEBI, which stem from the Companies Act of 2013, have brought significant changes in corporate governance in Indian listed companies. Absolute perfection may not be achieved, but these regulations have shown improvement in the overall transparency and accountability. Besides aiding in ensuring investor confidence and enjoining fair practices in the market through mandated disclosures, strengthening of board structures, and better responsiveness of compliance norms, SEBI is further faced with a challenging task--to bring all companies under a single platform of compliance in the first place, but further still to check on the ever-changing business models.

The main key Regulatory Impacts along with Transparency

Enhanced disclosure requirements: SEBI regulations, in accordance with the Companies Act, provide that detailed disclosures should be made for financial performance, related party transactions, and corporate governance practices. Such detailed disclosures have equipped investors with the right information for the purpose of transparency.

Strengthening of board structures: The regulations concerning independent directors, audit committee, and nominations and remuneration committee have strengthened board oversight. To a certain extent, it has enhanced accountability through the checks and balances structure in the board.

Improved Corporate Governance Practices: A timely response of SEBI about compliance with corporate governance norms has motivated companies to really adopt the best practices, e.g., a risk management framework and internal control systems. This has instilled in them a sense of accountability.

Challenges and Pursuit: Notwithstanding the improvements achieved, enforcing their application uniformly for all listed companies constitutes a challenge. The rapid transformation of the business environment demands that these regulations be kept updated by SEBI.

It is extremely important that SEBI continues with its endeavours in improving regulations and augmenting the surveillance mechanisms so that Corporate Governance in India can gain further strength.The regulatory reforms introduced jointly by SEBI and the Companies Act, 2013, have drastically changed both the composition and functioning of boards of directors in Indian listed companies, with special emphasis on placing independent directors in their right status and scope.

With its combined regulatory thrust, these two laws have sought to ensure that boards become active thinkers, informed, and uninterested bodies in safeguarding the interests of the shareholders and standards of corporate governance, instead of mere rubber stamps. Through the specification of criteria for independence, delineation of their duties, and facilitation toward active participation, SEBI and Companies Act intend to create boards which are increasingly diverse, transparent, and accountable.

This regulatory apparatus helps in switching from promoter-dominated boards to better-balanced boards that are minded of expertise and independent views, thereby improving governance in India.

Mandating Independence and Expertise: Companies Act, 2013, and SEBI regulations has defined, with some clarity, the criteria for independence: qualifications, disqualifications, and a limit on tenure of independent directors, making the appointment process more structured and transparent. Besides, the emphasis on board membership being dependent on expertise in finance, law, and management would ensure that the directors are capable of making informed decisions. This forced companies to appoint qualified independent directors not related to the promoters of the company.

Strengthening Board Committees and Oversight: Under the SEBI regulations, certain key board committees must be set up-the audit committee, nomination and remuneration committee, and stakeholder relationship committee- comprising a majority of independent directors. Such committees have a major say in the oversight of financial reporting, executive remuneration, and shareholder grievances; hence there is an enhanced board oversight and accountability. The audit committee has particularly become the bedrock of corporate governance, securing the very integrity of financial reporting and the effectiveness of internal controls.

Challenges and Limitations

As the regulator of India's securities market, SEBI has an important task of protecting the interests of investors and ensuring a fair and efficient capital market. In this process, a number of challenges and limitations confront the authority, demanding consideration for a large number of reforms.

The challenges arise from the nature of financial markets inherently dynamic in nature in addition to the complexity of financial terminology and instruments.

Thus, addressing these problems would be of extreme priority in augmenting the capacity of SEBI and in boosting investor confidence.

One of the major challenges in this case involves the adequate enforcement of regulations. It is hard to monitor and detect all forms of market manipulation, insider trading, and other fraudulent activities due to the massiveness of transactions and rapid aggressiveness of market activities.

Besides, cross-border transactions and the use of sophisticated financial instruments considerably complicate any surveillance efforts. Such technology dependence developed new threats to financial markets, including cyberattacks and data breaches. SEBI needs to strengthen its cybersecurity framework and enhance its technological capabilities to properly deal with such threats.

The increase of algorithmic trading and high-frequency trading requires constant regulatory adaptation. Information asymmetries between institutional and retail investors continue to plague markets.

The SEBI really must increase its efforts to promote investor education and financial literacy among the investors, especially in rural areas. Timely and accurate disclosure of information by listed companies has also become one of the serious missions of SEBI for empowering the investors to make informed decisions.

Regulating authorities abound in the Indian financial sector

The Respective Bank of India (RBI) and the Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority of India (IRDAI) among others. This could lead to cases of overlapped regulations and coordination problems. SEBI has to strengthen collaboration with other regulators, so as to obtain a harmonious and consistent system of regulations. Likewise, unregulated financial influencers have achieved prominence in the recent past. The rise of social media financial influencers has created a space where unregulated financial advice is being given. Something must be worked upon by SEBI to ensure regulation of such a space so as to protect the investor from being preyed upon by rogue actors.

Possible reforms for effectiveness

The strengthen enforcement mechanisms. SEBI should invest in advanced technology and data analytics for improved surveillance capabilities for the identification of market irregularities and squaring off of punishments in a more effective manner. The enforcement powers should also be strengthened.

Strengthening SEBI: Challenges and Potential Reforms

The SEBI, while certainly a key guardian of India's capital markets, encounters a series of complex challenges that complicate its fulfilment of the mandate of investor protection and market efficiency. Many of these are related to the scale, scope, and speed of an ever-evolving Indian market, not to mention dynamic cultural factors.

1.) The incessantly increasing numbers of new market participants, trading platforms, and the intricacy of financial product innovations-function as a perfect moving target for regulatory oversight.

2.) In the context of enforcement, this was more evident, as SEBI was found struggling to catch up with complicated market manipulation and insider trading schemes. Regarding them, the sophisticated cross-border trades and complex opaque financial structures progressively increase the degree of challenge and demand advanced surveillance instruments and international cooperation.

3.) The digital transition of financial markets offers an entire new risk. Algorithmic and high-frequency trading, alongside online trading platforms, are quite serious and warrant robust cybersecurity controls in order to avert cyberattacks and data breaches. SEBI needs to continuously keep its technological platform updated and its staff trained to effectively monitor and regulate these digital landscapes.

4.) Adding to this, the long-lasting challenge of asymmetrical information between institutional and retail investors hinders progress. Though SEBI had made commendable gains in rooting for disclosure and transparency, the greater challenge was to so provide information that all investors were well-timed with up-to-date and true data.

5.) This was hardened by various degrees of the population-liberal from rural pockets-being financially illiterate and, hence, open to a whole variety of mismanagement and dubious financial counsel.

6.) The rise of unregulated financial influencers through the social media is quite another bet high on risks. A worried SEBI has to set about devising a whole set of measures to regulate this space, which might include regulation for the influencers.

A Law Student's Perspective: SEBI and Corporate Governance

A research project in Corporate Governance from the Indian Capital Market: SEBI's Role Under the Companies Act, 2013 should be very appealing for a law student to touch on this intersection of statutory law and regulatory practice. The research is itself a turning point as it provides an avenue to dissect how SEBI, composed with the legislative mandate of the Companies Act, 2013, governs the conduct of publicly listed companies: it is not merely an exercise to understand legal provisions, but also an inspection into how such provisions trickle down into practices of governance. In particular, I intend to investigate how far SEBI could actually set a footing as an enforcement regulator for promoting transparency, accountability, and ethical conduct in the Indian corporate terrace. The project asks for a fine analysis of the thin line between regulatory intervention and creating a business facilitative environment. Besides, I want to critically evaluate the practical challenge the SEBI faces in implementing these regulations in light of these new market developments, especially when considering technological advances. In the end, I believe the research could contribute to a more nuanced development of understanding of the evolving role of SEBI in protecting investors and building a sound and credible market in India.

Suggestions

1.) Tech-Driven Regulation: The SEBI should integrate AI, cybersecurity, and digital literacy programs.

2.) Robust Independent Directors: Strengthen evaluation and independence by means of mandatory training and transparent assessments. Mentor and educate the investors by targeting specific underprivileged areas by way of extensive outreach programs using digital resources.

3.) Harmonised Regulation: Boost cooperation among ministries (RBI, IRDAI) to reduce regulatory gaps.

4.) Regulate Digital Influencers: Digital influencers should follow compulsory disclosures and certifications with advisories for online financial advice.

Conclusion

SEBI's role under the Companies Act, 2013, is paramount and has played a huge role in shaping corporate governance within the Indian capital market. Considering the necessity of the scenario, the regulatory framework evolved to bring transparency, accountability, and investor protection. However, challenges still remain in enforcement measures and adapting to the evolving complexities of the market. The effectiveness of SEBI's mandate would depend on the way it balances its regulatory rigidity to create an environment conducive to business growth. Also, continual reformation in regulation, creation of more robust surveillance mechanisms, and proactive stakeholder engagement assume greatest significance. Beyond that, addressing the emerging new risks that arise from technological advancements and the rapid proliferation of unregulated financial influences is most important. The overall success of SEBI's efforts will determine the integrity and stability of the Indian capital market that still makes it attractive for investors don and abroad.

References

1. https://complinity.com/blog/compliance/integrating-compliance-strategies-in-accordance-with-sebi-and-companies-act-2013/

2. https://cag.gov.in/uploads/download_audit_report/2021/8_C-4%20Corporate%20Governance-061bb1b6d98c843.75300583.pdf

3. https://www.sebi.gov.in/sebi_data/attachdocs/1357290354602.pdf

4. https://cleartax.in/s/corporate-governance-in-india

5. https://legallyflawless.in/strengthening-corporate-governance-the-role-of-sebi/

6. https://www.legalserviceindia.com/legal/article-9106-an-overview-of-corporate-governance-in-capital-market.html

7. https://www.nishithdesai.com/fileadmin/user_upload/Html/Hotline/Yes_Governance_Matters_May1024-M.html