# DECODING CRIMINALITY: A SCHOLARLY REVIEW OF CESARE LOMBROSO'S POSITIVIST CRIMINOLOGY

Mysoon Saifudeen, LL..M, School of Legal Studies, CUSAT

## INTRODUCTION

Lombroso was influenced by positivist naturalism and translated the work of the German philosopher and physiologist Moleschott. His wide-ranging interests included cretinism, spiritualism, and the causes of pellagra. He proposed that criminal behavior stems from an individual's abnormal physical constitution, making crime a natural phenomenon. He argued that criminals are not responsible for their actions and must be prevented from harming society. Lombroso also explored the idea that genius is linked to madness and exceptional attitudes, a concept dating back to Aristotle.

His research involved anatomical, physical, and chemical examinations of individuals, bearing some similarities to Freud's early experimental work. He was deeply concerned with social issues and advocated for measures such as creating a medical geography handbook for Italy. His theories were often shaped by his socialist ideals. His role as a pioneering figure in criminology proposed controversial theories on the biological roots of criminal behavior while also engaging with broader social and intellectual questions of his time.

Lombroso came from a well-off Sephardic Jewish family that originated in Spain and later settled in Italy. His parents, Aronne Lombroso and Zefora Levi, were traders. As a sensitive child, Lombroso had mystical visions. He was deeply influenced by his mother, especially after his sister Pasquetta's death. He attended high school in Verona but was later privately educated due to his rebellious character.

During his young age, Lombroso wrote poems, a tragedy, and essays on various topics, including ancient Roman history and agriculture in Italy. He also developed a strong interest in linguistics, reviewing a work by Paolo Marzolo on the origin and comparison of languages.Impressed by Lombroso's review, Marzolo encouraged him to study medicine. In 1852, Lombroso enrolled at Pavia University, where he studied under renowned professors

such as Bartolomeo Panizza and Paolo Mantegazza.[1]

Lombroso's early life and education laid the foundation for his future work in medicine, psychiatry, and criminology, as he developed a keen interest in various scientific and intellectual pursuits from a young age.

Lombroso became a full professor of psychiatry in Pavia in 1866. In 1876, he moved to Turin to take up a chair in legal medicine. He later became a prison physician in 1886 and a professor of psychiatry in 1890. In 1878, Lombroso published a book on the relationship between meteorological phenomena and criminal activity. He also took an interest in hypnotism in 1886-1887.

Despite initially criticizing belief in spiritualism, Lombroso partially changed his mind after attending spiritualist sessions with a famous medium, Eusapia Palatino. He began publishing on telepathy, which aroused interest among the scientific and social communities.

In 1897, Lombroso met Tolstoy in Moscow, but the two did not understand each other well. He left convinced that Tolstoy confirmed his theories about genius. He died in 1909 due to cardio-circulatory complications. As per his wish, his body was submitted to an autopsy, and his skeleton was donated to the criminal anthropology museum.

### *Cesare Lombroso's research methods and his belief in the importance of quantitative analysis in psychiatry*

Lombroso became interested in criminality in 1871 when he became the director of Pesaro Mental Hospital. He transformed some of the rooms into laboratories for criminal anthropology research.

He firmly believed in the advantages of quantitative methods and precision instruments in advancing science. He also advocated for applying these methods to psychiatry, arguing that mental illness involves both the spirit and the body.

Despite his belief in quantitative analysis, Lombroso's methods have been criticized for their anecdotal approach, subjectivism, lack of proper control groups, and unclear definitions of key

[1] Stefano Ferracuti, 'Cesare Lombroso (1835–1907)' (1996) *The Journal of Forensic Psychiatry* 7(2) 459, 16.

concepts like "the criminal." However, he demonstrated imaginative insight, good intuitive judgment, intellectual honesty, and a willingness to use control groups and have his theories tested impartially.

Even though, Lombroso's methods had significant drawbacks, his early interest in applying quantitative analysis to the study of criminality and his belief in the importance of precision and empirical evidence in psychiatry were notable contributions to the field.

**Cesare Lombroso's theory on the origins of criminal behavior:**

In the first two editions of L'uomo delinquente, Lombroso's analysis focused on the "habitual delinquent," as explained by the atavistic degeneration theory. From the third edition onwards, he added the hypothesis of "moral insanity" and later replaced it with criminal or latent "epilepsy" in the fourth edition. He perfected his personal typology, describing various categories of criminals alongside the born delinquent and extending the concepts related to the exogenous causes of crime.

According to Lombroso, the presence of ancestral characteristics in criminals could explain the analogies between delinquents, uncivilized people, insane persons, and prehistoric races. These characteristics demonstrate that development stopped at a previous stage of evolution for these individuals.

Lombroso's theory on the origins of criminal behavior evolved throughout the various editions of L'uomo delinquente, incorporating concepts such as atavism, moral insanity, and epilepsy to explain the existence of different types of criminals.

**ATAVISM**

Atavism, refers to the concept that the presence of ancestral characteristics in criminals could explain the analogies between delinquents, uncivilized people, insane persons, and prehistoric races. These characteristics try to show that development stopped at a previous stage of evolution for these individuals.

According to Lombroso, the presence of ancestral characteristics in criminals, i.e., the reappearance of the ancient in the modern, could explain the existence of analogies between delinquents, uncivilized people, insane persons, and prehistoric races and these ancestral

characteristics simply proves that for those individuals, development stopped at a previous stage of evolution.

Lombroso defines the delinquent as a non-progressed creature, a person whose development stopped in the past. Such a person's body structure induces him or her to act naturally in a way that could be acceptable in the age to which their body belongs but inevitably leads to conflict with modern society in the present time.[2]

In its biological sense, atavism refers to the reappearance of ancestral traits that had vanished in prior generations. Lombroso borrowed this notion and applied it directly to criminology. He proposed that certain criminals physically and psychologically resembled primitive humans or even ancestral animal species. According to his theory, these individuals were evolutionary throwbacks who had failed to develop the moral and intellectual faculties that characterized modern society. Their criminal behavior, therefore, was not a product of rational choice or environmental influence alone, but rather a manifestation of their biological makeup.

For Lombroso, atavism was a measurable and observable condition. He embarked on extensive anthropometric studies, meticulously examining the skulls, faces, and bodies of thousands of criminals, soldiers, and mentally ill individuals. Through these examinations, he believed he could identify distinct physical anomalies that differentiated the "born criminal" from the general population. In his view, traits such as cranial asymmetry, sloping foreheads, large jaws, long arms, heavy brows, and pronounced cheekbones were not random features but biological markers of a primitive past resurfacing in certain individuals. Lombroso interpreted these signs as clear indicators of a criminal predisposition rooted deeply in biology.

His concept of atavism extended beyond mere physical attributes. Lombroso also identified psychological characteristics that he believed were typical of born criminals. He suggested that these individuals often displayed insensitivity to pain, a lack of remorse, impulsivity, cruelty, and a deficient moral sense. To Lombroso, such psychological traits were as much evidence of evolutionary regression as any physical deformity. He argued that the innate moral underdevelopment of criminals rendered them less capable of adhering to the norms of civilized society, much like early humans who acted on instinct rather than on learned social rules.

[2] Stefano Ferracuti, 'Cesare Lombroso (1835–1907)' (1996) *Journal of Forensic Psychiatry* 7(2), 421, 8

The introduction of atavism into criminology had significant societal implications. Lombroso's theory challenged the prevailing classical notion that crime resulted from rational, conscious decisions and could be deterred through punishment. Instead, he suggested that if certain individuals were biologically destined to commit crimes, then society's focus should shift from punishment to prevention and rehabilitation. His work proposed the identification of criminal traits early in life, advocating for interventions that could manage or mitigate criminal tendencies before they fully manifested.

Nevertheless, Lombroso's theories carried serious ethical risks. His emphasis on biological determinism opened the door to dangerous generalizations and stereotyping based on physical appearance. Moreover, his work contributed to the rise of eugenic ideas in the early 20th century, as some sought to "improve" society by controlling the reproduction of those deemed biologically inferior. In retrospect, the darker aspects of Lombroso's legacy highlight the perils of applying biological theories too rigidly to complex social phenomena.

Modern science has largely discredited Lombroso's specific claims about atavism. Research has demonstrated that criminal behavior cannot be traced to simple physical markers, and that social, psychological, and environmental factors play crucial roles. Critics have also noted that Lombroso's samples were not representative, his measurement techniques were flawed, and his interpretations often reflected the cultural and racial biases of his era. Nonetheless, Lombroso's broader influence endures. His commitment to empirical observation, systematic data collection, and interdisciplinary study laid important groundwork for the scientific study of crime.

While Cesare Lombroso's theory of atavism is now seen as scientifically inaccurate and ethically problematic, it represents a pivotal moment in the history of criminology. By suggesting that crime had biological roots, Lombroso helped shift the conversation from moral condemnation to scientific investigation. His work initiated vital debates about the origins of criminal behavior, the interplay between nature and nurture, and the role of society in preventing crime. Although we have moved far beyond Lombroso's conclusions, the questions he raised continue to resonate in contemporary discussions of criminal justice and human behavior.

**MORAL MADNESS**

## Moral Madness in Lombroso's Criminology: A Forensic Exploration of Degenerate Morality

In the intellectual climate of 19th-century Europe, Cesare Lombroso emerged as a pioneering figure who sought to unravel the causes of criminal behavior through science. While his best-known theories revolve around biological determinism and atavism, Lombroso also explored psychological and psychiatric dimensions of criminality. One such concept that stands out in his work is **"moral madness."** Lombrosso's broader efforts to medicalize crime, moral madness represented a condition where the individual appeared mentally sane but was devoid of ethical judgment. Moral Madness is determined as an early attempt to connect psychiatric anomalies with deviant behavior, offering an innovative yet controversial bridge between criminology and psychology.

Moral madness, as conceived by Lombroso, referred to a type of insanity in which a person's cognitive and intellectual faculties remained intact, but their moral compass was profoundly defective. Unlike traditional cases of mental illness, these individuals could reason, remember, and converse normally, yet they lacked any genuine sense of empathy, guilt, or social responsibility. Lombroso identified this as a distinct form of mental deviation—one that affected the individual's ethical instincts rather than their reasoning capacities. It was a madness of character, not of intellect.

This concept had significant implications for legal and forensic practice. In the prevailing legal traditions of the time, criminal responsibility was often judged based on an individual's ability to distinguish right from wrong. Lombroso's notion of moral madness challenged this binary framework. It proposed that an individual could fully comprehend the difference between lawful and unlawful behavior, and still be inherently incapable of conforming to moral expectations. Thus, such people were dangerous not because they were irrational, but because their rationality was unaccompanied by conscience.

The idea of moral madness also reflected Lombroso's broader interest in degeneracy and inherited defects. He theorized that this condition might be congenital, suggesting that some individuals were born lacking the psychological mechanisms necessary for moral development. In this way, moral madness was framed not simply as a personal failing, but as a symptom of

deeper biological and familial defects. These views placed Lombroso at the intersection of psychiatry, criminology, and early genetics, foreshadowing later debates about psychopathy and antisocial personality disorders.

Despite its medicalized framing, moral madness also served as a cultural diagnosis. It pathologized individuals who deviated from accepted social norms—not just murderers or thieves, but also those whose behavior was callous, manipulative, or cruel in subtle ways. Lombroso's theory blurred the line between criminal pathology and moral deviance, creating a gray area where ethical and medical judgments overlapped. This ambiguity has sparked both interest and criticism in modern scholarship. While some credit Lombroso with anticipating the modern concept of the psychopath, others criticize him for expanding psychiatric labels in ways that could justify excessive social control or punitive action against marginalized groups.

Moral madness also underscored Lombroso's belief in the complexity of criminal motivation. By recognizing that not all crime stemmed from impulsivity or necessity, he acknowledged the existence of calculated, cold-blooded offenders whose emotional detachment made them all the more dangerous. In some cases, he described these individuals as charming or highly intelligent, further complicating the stereotype of the "degenerate" criminal. These observations would later influence the development of clinical profiles for sociopathy and psychopathy in 20th-century forensic psychiatry.

Though the term "moral madness" has largely fallen out of use, its conceptual legacy persists. It laid the foundation for modern understandings of moral insanity, psychopathy, and personality disorders. More importantly, it introduced a new kind of diagnostic concern—how to deal with individuals who violate societal norms not because they lack understanding, but because they lack care. Lombroso's insights, though framed in the limited medical vocabulary of his time, continue to echo in present-day criminal psychology, particularly in efforts to assess moral reasoning and emotional empathy in offenders.

Cesare Lombroso's concept of moral madness was a pivotal step in bridging criminology with psychiatry. It challenged conventional notions of criminal responsibility by identifying a category of individuals whose intellect remained untouched, but whose moral faculties were disturbingly absent. While rooted in the scientific and cultural assumptions of his era, the idea reflects Lombroso's enduring quest to understand the invisible forces like biological, psychological, and social—that drive human beings to violate the laws of society. As modern

criminology continues to explore the nuances of personality disorders, moral cognition, and affective deficits, the ghost of Lombroso's moral madness still lingers, inviting both scrutiny and recognition.

## EPILEPSY

In the evolving landscape of 19th-century criminology and medical science, Cesare Lombroso stood at the forefront of attempts to unravel the biological foundations of crime. One particularly provocative subject he tackled was the connection between **epilepsy and criminal behavior**. He viewed epilepsy not merely as a neurological disorder but as a key for understanding the hidden mechanisms behind impulsive, violent, and irrational acts. By intertwining neurology with criminal anthropology, Lombroso initiated a highly debated discourse that would echo for decades in both psychiatric and forensic fields.

Lombroso believed that epilepsy provided a crucial link between **insanity and criminality**. He observed that many individuals exhibiting criminal tendencies—especially those prone to sudden, brutal outbursts which showed traits that resembled epileptic episodes. These included sudden loss of consciousness, convulsions, temporary amnesia, and uncontrolled emotional expression. What fascinated Lombroso most, however, were the behavioral patterns of those with so-called "masked epilepsy," where seizures were absent or subtle, but the individual's actions became erratic, dangerous, and socially disruptive. He theorized that such individuals were outwardly normal but could be overtaken by brief episodes of altered consciousness and uncontrollable rage, thus committing crimes with a mechanical or automatic quality, seemingly without rational thought or motive.

This notion fit neatly into Lombroso's broader framework of **degeneration and atavism**. He viewed epilepsy as a pathological echo of earlier evolutionary states which is a form of nervous degeneration that pulled the sufferer back toward primal, instinct-driven behavior. In this model, epilepsy was not just a medical condition; it was a symptom of biological regression. He compared epileptic behavior to that of "primitive" humans or animals, noting its suddenness, brutality, and lack of foresight. For Lombroso, these connections strengthened his overarching argument that some criminals were biologically predisposed to violence and incapable of moral control.

Lombroso further argued that epilepsy could explain a wide range of criminal profiles. He

identified common traits among criminals that overlapped with epileptic characteristics, including impulsivity, hypersensitivity, stubbornness, cruelty, religious mania, and emotional volatility. In some instances, he claimed, epileptic individuals exhibited an uncanny calmness and rationality immediately after committing a violent act, a psychological detachment that made them seem remorseless or unaffected by the gravity of their crimes. This behavior, in his mind, underscored the neurological nature of their actions and distinguished them from criminals driven by greed or calculated intent.

Though Lombroso made efforts to document these patterns through empirical observation and clinical case studies, his conclusions often relied on anecdotal evidence and speculative interpretation. His tendency to draw bold inferences from limited neurological knowledge reflected both the limitations of his era and the ambition of his intellectual project. He sought to categorize and explain crime with scientific certainty, even if the available medical understanding of epilepsy was rudimentary and riddled with misconceptions.

The implications of Lombroso's epilepsy-crime theory were far-reaching. On one hand, it humanized some offenders by framing their actions as products of illness rather than evil intent. On the other hand, it also pathologized and stigmatized epilepsy, reinforcing public fears that epileptics were unstable and potentially violent. In linking neurological disorders so directly with criminality, Lombroso inadvertently contributed to social prejudices that would persist well into the 20th century.

Modern neuroscience and psychiatry have since revised much of what Lombroso claimed. Today, we understand that epilepsy is a diverse neurological condition with various forms and causes, the vast majority of which have no relation to violence or criminal behavior. While certain types of seizures may cause temporary changes in behavior or consciousness, the idea of epilepsy as a hidden driver of moral insanity or spontaneous criminality has been largely discredited. However, his work opened the door to **neurocriminology**, a field that continues to explore how brain disorders and injuries can influence behavior in complex ways.

Therefore, Cesare Lombroso’s theory linking epilepsy and criminality reflects both the scientific curiosity and the limitations of his time. His efforts to uncover a neurological basis for deviance were pioneering, even if flawed, and they foreshadowed modern attempts to integrate brain science into forensic analysis. While his portrayal of epilepsy as a marker of dangerous degeneracy is no longer accepted, the questions he posed about the interplay

between brain function, moral responsibility, and legal culpability remain highly relevant. Lombroso's treatment of epilepsy reminds us of the importance of approaching neurological conditions with both scientific rigor and ethical sensitivity, particularly when such conditions intersect with the justice system.

**Environmental Factors and Female Criminality: Lombroso's Gendered Criminology**

Lombrosso extended his inquiries not only into the biological roots of crime but also into the social and environmental forces that shaped criminal behavior. His studies on **female criminality**, particularly his views expressed around **environmental factors**, represent a significant chapter in the history of forensic science. While much of Lombroso's work has been criticized for its overt biological determinism, also his approach where he recognizes that environment, culture, and societal structures heavily influence the criminal behavior of women.

Lombroso was initially famous for asserting that criminals were "born," not made, identifying anatomical and physiological signs of atavism. However, when it came to female offenders, he encountered an interesting anomaly. The proportion of women among criminals was much smaller than men, and their crimes tended to be less violent and more opportunistic. This observation led Lombroso to reconsider the purely biological explanation and to explore **environmental factors** more seriously in the context of women's criminality.

He posited that the criminality of women was deeply intertwined with their social position, economic dependency, and limited access to power. Unlike men, women had fewer opportunities for direct, overt acts of aggression or criminal enterprise because they were largely confined to the domestic sphere. Lombroso theorized that environmental pressures such as poverty, exploitation, oppression, and lack of education played a decisive role in shaping the criminal pathways of women. Women's crimes, he argued, often arose out of necessity or as a reaction to their marginalized status, rather than from an inherent predisposition to deviance.

Furthermore, Lombroso noted that female criminals often resorted to subtle, indirect forms of lawbreaking: theft, infanticide, fraud, and acts of revenge. These types of crimes were, in his view, expressions of the constrained agency women experienced within a patriarchal society. Thus, female criminality appeared to be less about innate degeneration and more about adaptation to oppressive circumstances. Environmental deprivation, especially extreme

poverty and social isolation also could push women towards crime as a survival mechanism.

Though, Lombroso did not completely abandon his biological assumptions. He continued to assert that the "born female criminal" exhibited physical stigmata similar to those he identified in male offenders, such as masculine facial features and a lack of maternal instincts. Yet, he maintained that such biological cases were far rarer among women, and that **most female criminals** were shaped far more by their **social environment** than by congenital factors.[3] This distinction between male and female criminality subtly introduced the idea that external factors, rather than solely biological destiny, could be significant drivers of deviant behavior — a notion that later criminological theories would further develop.

Lombroso's approach to female criminality also revealed broader cultural attitudes of his time. He often characterized women as morally inferior but socially restrained, suggesting that it was the social institutions, marriage, religion, and family—that kept most women in check. He speculated that without these controlling structures, the potential for female deviance might be much higher. Thus, his view combined a respect for the socializing role of environmental factors with a lingering suspicion of women's "natural" moral frailty.

In terms of broader effect, Lombroso's treatment of female criminality opened the door to more serious consideration of gender in criminological research. Later scholars would critique and expand upon his work, recognizing that female crime could not be understood through the same models applied to male offenders. Social roles, power dynamics, gendered expectations, and economic inequalities became central variables in the analysis of why and how women commit crimes.

Cesare Lombroso's discussion of environmental factors and female criminality marks an important, if complicated, contribution to the evolution of criminological thought. While still rooted in many of the biological assumptions of his era, Lombroso's willingness to acknowledge the profound influence of environmental and social pressures on women's criminal behavior represented a step toward a more multifaceted understanding of crime. His work highlighted the necessity of considering not just the innate traits of the offender, but also the broader context in which deviant behavior occurs as a lesson that remains critical in

[3] Cesare Lombroso and Guglielmo Ferrero, *The Female Offender* (William Douglas Morrison tr, Fisher Unwin 1895).

contemporary discussions of gender, crime, and justice.

## Criminal Typology and Political Crime: Lombroso's Scientific Categorization of Deviance

Cesare Lombroso's relentless pursuit of a scientific understanding of crime led him to develop a sophisticated system of **criminal typology**, an attempt to classify different kinds of criminals based on biological, psychological, and social characteristics. Lombroso's work shifts into a deeper discussions about how not all crimes, and therefore not all criminals, could be explained by a single model. He recognized that the motivations, behaviors, and even the social functions of criminal acts varied so widely that a detailed classification system was necessary. One particularly interesting and controversial subset of his typology was his treatment of **political crime**, which he viewed as distinct from the more traditional forms of deviant behavior.

In constructing his criminal typology, Lombroso divided criminals into several major categories. He identified the **"born criminal,"** who he believed was biologically predisposed to deviance and bore physical stigmata of atavism. Next, he distinguished the **"insane criminal,"** whose offenses resulted from mental illness or psychological degeneration. He also noted the **"criminal by passion,"** a type moved by strong emotions, often committing violent acts in moments of intense love, jealousy, or honor. Lastly, he identified **"occasional criminals,"** individuals who committed crimes due to external pressures such as poverty or bad company rather than innate tendencies.

## Types of Criminals According to Cesare Lombroso

Cesare Lombroso, often hailed as the father of modern criminology, introduced a revolutionary framework for understanding criminals, not merely as moral agents making choices, but as products of biological, psychological, and social forces. In *"Criminal Man,"* Lombroso categorized criminals into distinct types based on their physical, psychological, and behavioral characteristics. His classification was a marked departure from the classical school of thought that treated all criminals uniformly, focusing only on the crime rather than the criminal.

Lombroso's major types of criminals: **Born Criminals**, **Criminaloids**, **Insane Criminals**, and **Criminals of Passion**, weaving together his anthropological insights and sociological theories.

## ❖ Born Criminals: The Atavistic Roots of Criminality

The concept of the **Born Criminal** stands at the very heart of Cesare Lombroso's revolutionary theory of criminology. Through the 19th century, crime was largely seen as a moral failing, the result of bad choices or a corrupt environment. Lombroso, however, proposed a far more radical idea: that some individuals are born with an innate predisposition to criminal behavior. These born criminals are not simply misguided or immoral; they are, in essence, evolutionary throwbacks to an earlier, more primitive form of humanity. This essay explores Lombroso's notion of the born criminal, its foundations in anthropology and psychology, its physical and behavioral characteristics, and the broader implications for society.

### Origins of the Theory

Cesare Lombroso's theory did not emerge from abstract philosophy but from empirical observation. While conducting a post-mortem examination on a notorious brigand named Vilella, Lombroso noticed a peculiar indentation at the base of the skull — a trait more common in apes and lower animals than in modern humans. This discovery triggered a flash of insight: criminals, he proposed, could be biologically distinct from law-abiding citizens. They were, in some cases, *atavisms* — biological throwbacks to earlier evolutionary stages.

For Lombroso, crime was not solely a social phenomenon but a natural occurrence in certain individuals whose anatomy and psychology were fundamentally different from those of the rest of society.

### Physical Characteristics of Born Criminals

One of the most distinctive aspects of Lombroso's born criminal theory was the emphasis on **physical stigmata**. According to his research, born criminals exhibited an array of anatomical anomalies — deviations from the physical norms of modern humanity, often resembling traits found in apes, savages, or even prehistoric humans.

These traits included:

- **Asymmetrical facial features** (such as uneven ears or eyes),
- **Large jaws** and **prominent cheekbones**,

- **Low, sloping foreheads**,
- **Long arms** relative to body height,
- **Flattened or upturned noses**,
- **Handle-shaped ears** and sometimes absence of earlobes,
- **Excessive wrinkling** and **unusual tattoos**,
- **Prognathism** (protruding jaws),
- **High incidence of left-handedness**.

Moreover, anomalies extended to internal features such as the brain and skull, where Lombroso observed irregularities like the **median occipital fossa,** a trait common to reptiles and lower mammals but rare in humans. While none of these traits alone were considered definitive proof of criminality, the accumulation of several of them in an individual pointed toward an atavistic disposition.

**Psychological and Behavioral Traits**

Beyond physical anomalies, born criminals were distinguished by specific **psychological tendencies**. Lombroso found that these individuals often lacked:

- **Moral sensibility**,
- **Empathy**,
- **Remorse or guilt**.

Instead, they exhibited impulsivity, cruelty, and an inability to distinguish between right and wrong in a meaningful way. Their emotions were shallow and fleeting, dominated by basic passions like anger, jealousy, and lust.

Born criminals, Lombroso noted, often displayed:

- **A love for orgies and intoxication**,

- **An obsession with tattoos and symbolic markings**,
- **Superstitions and religious fanaticism**,
- **Insensitivity to pain.**

Their crimes were frequently violent, impulsive, and senseless, not planned acts of calculated greed, but outbursts of primal instinct.

**Evidence and Case Studies**

Lombroso and his followers compiled a vast amount of data to support the theory of the born criminal. Post-mortem examinations of criminals revealed physical anomalies at far higher rates than in the general population. Studies of prison populations showed a prevalence of traits like prognathism and asymmetry that were rare among free citizens.

Famous case studies, such as the brigand Vilella and the sadistic murderer Verzeni, showcased individuals whose lives seemed to embody the atavistic model. These were men who not only committed brutal crimes but seemed devoid of any recognition that their acts were wrong, reacting instead with indifference, pride, or even joy.

Cesare Lombroso's theory of the *born criminal* captured much of the public imagination with its vivid depictions of biological atavism, he did not believe that all criminals were predestined by nature to break the law. Instead, he introduced the concept of **Criminaloids**, a vast and important category of offenders whose criminal behavior emerged not from congenital defects but from the influence of environment, circumstance, and opportunity. In Lombroso's framework, Criminaloids represent a crucial link between biological theories of crime and sociological explanations, making them a cornerstone of modern criminological thought.

He explores the nature, characteristics, causes, and broader implications of the Criminaloid type, highlighting its significance in understanding human deviance.

❖ **Criminaloids: The Evolution of Crime Beyond Biology**

**Criminaloids**[4] are individuals who are not inherently predisposed to crime by their biological

[4] Cesare Lombroso, *Criminal Man* (Gina Lombroso Ferrero tr, G P Putnam's Sons 1911) 3.

constitution but who become criminals under particular conditions. They might possess only mild biological anomalies or none at all; their drift into criminality is often gradual, situational, and influenced by external factors such as poverty, peer pressure, alcohol, or political and economic crises.

Lombroso himself described them as a sort of "grey zone" neither wholly normal nor wholly deviant. Criminaloids include a broad range of offenders: from the shopkeeper who embezzles under financial stress to the respectable citizen who commits fraud, to the otherwise ordinary individual who, under the influence of alcohol or passion, commits an assault or murder.[5]

Unlike the born criminal, whose crime is habitual and instinctive, the criminaloid commits crimes more sporadically and often experiences guilt or fear afterward.

**Physical and Psychological Traits**

Physically, criminaloids typically do not exhibit the anatomical anomalies such as asymmetrical faces, prognathism, or other stigmata that Lombroso associated with the born criminal. They largely resemble normal individuals in appearance and may even outwardly embody the standards of decency and respectability.[6]

Psychologically, however, they often reveal certain vulnerabilities:

- **Weakness of will**,
- **Susceptibility to suggestion and imitation**
- **Tendency to conform to group behavior,**
- **Inadequate resistance to temptation**,
- **Poor moral judgment under stress**.

These traits, rather than any innate depravity, shows why criminaloids falter when faced with certain provocations or pressures.

[5] ibid
[6] Cesare Lombroso, *Criminal Man* (Gina Lombroso Ferrero tr, G P Putnam's Sons 1911) 3.

### *Causes of Criminaloid Behavior*

Lombroso identified multiple factors that could push a non-criminal into criminal behavior:

**1. Environmental and Social Factors**

Economic hardship, unemployment, lack of education, and exposure to criminal environments could transform otherwise law-abiding individuals into offenders. In densely populated urban areas rife with inequality, criminality could seem like a logical or necessary survival strategy.

**2. Alcoholism and Drug Use**

Substance abuse, particularly alcoholism, played a significant role in weakening self-control and moral awareness, making crimes of violence or neglect more likely among individuals not otherwise criminal.

**3. Psychological Stress and Emotional Instability**

Crimes of passion often fell into the criminaloid category, where intense emotions — jealousy, rage, humiliation — momentarily overwhelmed judgment.

**4. Imitation and Peer Pressure**

The criminaloid was seen as particularly susceptible to imitation — adopting behaviors seen in peers, communities, or even portrayed in sensational media.

**5. Momentary Opportunities**

In many cases, the opportunity to commit a crime with little chance of detection or punishment could tempt an otherwise non-criminal individual.

Thus, criminaloids were not "monsters" by nature; they were, tragically, products of circumstance, temptation, and human frailty.

### ❖ Insane Criminals: Cesare Lombroso's Vision of Crime and Mental Disorder

**Insane criminals** were, for Lombroso, individuals whose unlawful actions were the direct product of mental disturbance. They were either affected by congenital mental defects or by

acquired insanity resulting from disease, trauma, or degeneration. Unlike born criminals, who were seen as moral throwbacks to primitive humanity, insane criminals were victims of pathological conditions that impaired their ability to control behavior and to distinguish between right and wrong.

Importantly, Lombroso emphasized that not all madness produced criminality, and not all criminals were insane. It was only when mental dysfunction directly drove antisocial acts that an individual was classified as an insane criminal.

**Types of Insane Criminals**

Lombroso offered a nuanced breakdown of insane criminals into several subtypes, based on the nature of their mental disturbances:

**1. Epileptic Criminals**

Perhaps the most striking group, **epileptic criminals** were individuals whose crimes were associated with epilepsy. Lombroso found many similarities between epileptics and criminals: impulsiveness, cruelty, sudden violent outbursts, and moral insensitivity. Some epileptics committed brutal crimes during seizures or in confused states following seizures, without later recalling their actions.

In his view, epilepsy created an "occasional insanity" that could lead to spontaneous, unmotivated acts of violence — a concept that helped link neurology to criminology.

**2. Alcoholic Criminals**

**Chronic alcoholism**, Lombroso argued, frequently gave rise to mental degeneration. Long-term alcohol abuse damaged the brain, leading to hallucinations, loss of judgment, violent impulses, and eventually, serious criminal behavior. Alcoholic criminals often committed crimes in states of intoxication or delirium, and their acts were characterized by extreme brutality.

**3. Hysterical Criminals**

Drawing from contemporary medical thought, Lombroso described **hysterical criminals** as individuals suffering from hysteria — a condition involving emotional instability,

suggestibility, and dramatic mood swings. Hysterical individuals could be driven to criminal actions by sudden, intense emotional crises, often followed by amnesia or denial.

Many female offenders, he suggested, belonged to this group, their crimes often tied to profound emotional disturbances rather than rational calculation.

**4. Moral Insane**

A particularly important category was the **morally insane** — individuals who seemed intellectually normal but showed a profound and congenital lack of moral sense. They understood social rules but felt no emotional attachment to them. They committed crimes coldly, without remorse, often repeatedly.

The moral insane closely resembled born criminals but differed in that their criminality stemmed from a localized or specialized form of insanity rather than from general atavism.

**5. Demented and Monomaniacal Criminals**

Lombroso also included **demented criminals** (suffering from general mental decline) and **monomaniacs** (those obsessed with a single idea or impulse) under the category of insane criminals. Monomania could lead to obsessive crimes — for instance, arson or murder fixated around a specific person or object.

**Physical and Psychological Traits**

Unlike born criminals, who displayed visible anatomical anomalies, insane criminals often exhibited symptoms of neurological disease:

- Irregular or asymmetrical cranium shapes,
- Atrophy or sclerosis of brain tissue,
- Deformities related to congenital mental defects.

Psychologically, insane criminals displayed:

- Hallucinations,

- Delusions,
- Sudden mood swings,
- Impulsive and senseless acts of violence,
- Deep-seated emotional instability.

Cesare Lombroso's identification of the insane criminal was a landmark in understanding the diversity of human criminality. By recognizing that mental illness could drive individuals to commit crimes, he introduced a more compassionate and scientific approach to criminal justice. While some of his conclusions have been revised with modern research, the core idea that crime can sometimes be a symptom of disease rather than of choice or character remains central to contemporary criminology and forensic psychology.

### ❖ Criminals of Passion: An Emotional Explosion Beyond Criminal Instinct

Among the various classifications Cesare Lombroso proposed in his groundbreaking work *Criminal Man*, the figure of the **criminal of passion** stands out as uniquely human and tragically relatable. Unlike born criminals, who Lombroso believed were driven by biological degeneracy, or criminaloids, whose crimes were rooted in environmental pressures, criminals of passion committed their acts under the overwhelming influence of intense emotions. Their offenses, often violent, were not premeditated, habitual, or instinctual; they were sudden explosions of feeling, typically involving love, jealousy, rage, honor, or despair.

Lombroso observed that criminals of passion were usually individuals of good character and respectable lives before the act that led to their downfall. They were not repeat offenders, nor did they exhibit the physical anomalies, such as asymmetrical skulls, excessive jaw size, or flattened noses which he associated with the born criminal. On the contrary, criminals of passion were often physically normal, even healthy and well-educated, belonging to the middle or upper classes of society. Their crimes, though grave, were isolated incidents, occurring when a powerful emotional shock broke the normal controls of their conscience and judgment.[7]

The causes behind crimes of passion, according to Lombroso, were deeply rooted in intense

[7] Cesare Lombroso, *Criminal Man* (Gina Lombroso-Ferrero tr, G P Putnam's Sons 1911).

emotional crises. Jealousy was a common trigger, leading to domestic homicides and violent assaults against lovers or rivals. Revenge for perceived insults or betrayals could also provoke sudden and uncontrollable aggression. In some cases, despair caused by financial ruin, political oppression, or personal loss drove individuals to acts of violence against others or against themselves. Sometimes, strong patriotic or ideological fervor inspired crimes, as individuals committed acts of political violence under the belief that they were defending honor or justice.

One of the most important distinctions Lombroso made was between the criminal of passion and other criminal types. While born criminals and criminaloids often repeated offenses or planned their actions, criminals of passion were usually one-time offenders whose crimes were spontaneous and emotional. Unlike born criminals, who felt little or no remorse, criminals of passion often exhibited deep sorrow and shame. Their physical normality, emotional depth, and rarity of reoffending marked them as individuals who, under ordinary circumstances, would have remained lawful and peaceful citizens.

Lombroso's identification of criminals of passion carried significant implications for the justice system. He argued that these individuals should not be judged with the same harshness as born criminals, because their acts did not arise from a degenerate nature or calculated malice but from a momentary human weakness. Consequently, he advocated for lighter sentences, taking into account the emotional context and the individual's previous moral life. He also emphasized the need for the courts to assess the mental and emotional state of the accused, a notion that influenced the development of concepts like mitigating circumstances and defenses based on temporary insanity or diminished responsibility.

In the modern world, Lombroso's insights into criminals of passion remain strikingly relevant. Contemporary criminology recognizes that emotional disturbances, while not excusing crimes, do influence culpability and sentencing. Legal systems today still consider crimes of passion differently from premeditated murders, often allowing for reduced charges or sentences when overwhelming emotion is proven to have played a central role.

Criminals of passion, as described by Lombroso, are a sobering reminder that crime is not always born of evil or persistent deviance. Sometimes, it is the tragic result of emotions that overwhelm reason, revealing the fragility and intensity of the human spirit. By understanding these offenders not merely as criminals but as human beings overcome by powerful feelings, Lombroso invited a more compassionate and nuanced approach to justice — one that

recognizes not only the act but the emotional storm that sometimes drives otherwise good people to do terrible things.

It was within this typological framework that Lombroso addressed the category of **political criminals**. Political crime, according to Lombroso, was fundamentally different from ordinary crime because it often arose from ideological, altruistic, or revolutionary motives rather than personal greed, cruelty, or degeneracy. In a striking departure from his typical biological determinism, Lombroso viewed many political criminals not as degenerates but as **heroes** or **martyrs** who challenged unjust systems. These individuals, in his estimation, often came from the most morally and intellectually advanced segments of society. They exhibited passion, sacrifice, and a devotion to higher causes, even at the cost of their own safety and lives.

This recognition placed Lombroso at odds with the simplistic idea that all criminals were socially or biologically inferior. Political criminals, he argued, were often motivated by a strong sense of justice, honor, or revolutionary zeal. Far from being socially pathological, they could represent the vanguard of social progress. In this sense, political crime was not merely a disruption of order but potentially a catalyst for societal transformation.

However, Lombroso also acknowledged that not all political criminals were noble. Among them, he found opportunists and extremists whose methods or ideologies could be as destructive as the injustices they sought to overthrow. He warned that political fervor, while sometimes born of legitimate grievances, could be manipulated by pathological personalities prone to fanaticism, violence, or authoritarianism. Thus, even within the realm of political crime, Lombroso maintained the need for scientific evaluation of individual motives, mental health, and social impact.

This nuanced treatment of political crime revealed an evolution in Lombroso's thinking. Although he remained convinced that most criminal behavior could be traced to biological degeneration or psychological abnormality, he also admitted that crime, in specific social and political contexts, could be a rational, even admirable response to oppression. His approach implicitly recognized the role of **environmental, historical, and political forces** in shaping deviant behavior—a significant step beyond the rigid biological determinism with which he is often associated.

Moreover, Lombroso's typology laid important groundwork for the later development of

criminological subfields, including political criminology and the sociology of deviance. His distinction between criminal types encouraged future researchers to consider **intent**, **social function**, and **cultural meaning** when analyzing criminal acts. Modern scholars now recognize that crimes are not merely individual failures but are also reflections of broader societal tensions and structural inequalities.

Cesare Lombroso's work on criminal typology and political crime represents a complex and sometimes contradictory attempt to organize human deviance into scientific categories. His classification system moved beyond simplistic explanations of crime, acknowledging the profound diversity of criminal motivations and behaviors. Particularly in his treatment of political crime, Lombroso demonstrated a rare capacity for moral and intellectual flexibility, recognizing that not all acts against the law are acts against humanity. His insights, though rooted in the assumptions of his time, continue to provoke critical discussion about the intersection of crime, morality, and social change.

**The School of Penal Law: Lombroso and the Foundations of a New Criminal Justice Philosophy**

In the late 19th century, a profound transformation was taking place in the field of criminal law and justice. At the center of this intellectual revolution was Cesare Lombroso and his collaborators, who laid the foundations of what came to be known as the **School of Penal Law**—often referred to as the **"Positive School"** of criminology. Lombroso's pivotal role in this movement is clearly states, showing how he, along with figures like Enrico Ferri and Raffaele Garofalo, challenged the classical doctrines of criminal responsibility and punishment by advocating for a more scientific, sociological, and humanistic approach.

The traditional, or "Classical School" of criminal law, championed by thinkers like Cesare Beccaria and Jeremy Bentham, had dominated legal thought for decades. It was built upon Enlightenment ideals of rationality, free will, and proportionate punishment. In this view, crime was a rational choice, and punishment served primarily as a deterrent. However, Lombroso found this model increasingly inadequate. His observations, based on empirical studies of criminals' anatomy, psychology, and social backgrounds, convinced him that many offenders did not act purely out of free will. Instead, he believed criminal behavior often stemmed from biological, psychological, and social factors beyond the individual's conscious control.

Thus, the **School of Penal Law**, as Lombroso envisioned it, aimed to shift the focus of criminal justice from the abstract concept of moral guilt to the concrete study of the criminal as a human being. According to this perspective, it was not enough to examine the crime; one must examine the criminal. This represented a radical departure from earlier systems of law that treated every crime as essentially similar and every criminal as equally rational.

Lombroso's school proposed several revolutionary ideas. First, they emphasized **individualized justice**. Because criminals differed in their biological and psychological makeup, the nature and purpose of punishment should vary accordingly. The punishment should not merely fit the crime; it should fit the criminal. Habitual or "born" criminals, whom Lombroso believed to be irredeemable due to biological atavism, required different forms of treatment than occasional or emotional offenders, who might be rehabilitated through education and social support.

Second, the School of Penal Law argued for a **preventive and protective function** of the criminal justice system rather than a purely retributive one. Instead of focusing on retribution after the fact, legal systems should aim to prevent crime by identifying risk factors early and intervening through social reforms, education, and medical or psychological treatment. Punishment, therefore, became a means of protecting society, rather than exacting moral revenge.

Lombroso's close collaborators, especially Ferri and Garofalo, expanded upon these ideas, integrating sociological factors into the analysis of crime. They emphasized that environmental and social conditions such as poverty, education, and family structure could heavily influence criminal behavior. Thus, while Lombroso retained a strong biological focus, the broader School of Penal Law developed a more multifaceted understanding of crime as a product of the complex interplay between individual traits and social environment.

The School of Penal Law also advanced the idea of **dangerousness** as a key criterion in criminal justice decisions. Rather than judging solely based on the nature of a past act, they argued, the system should assess an individual's future risk to society. This perspective laid the groundwork for modern practices such as parole evaluations, psychiatric assessments, and even contemporary debates about preventive detention and risk management.

However, the School of Penal Law was not without its limitations. Opponents argued that

abandoning the principle of free will and moral responsibility could erode the very foundation of the legal system, potentially leading to authoritarian practices where individuals were punished not for what they had done, but for who they were presumed to be. These concerns foreshadowed later critiques of profiling, preemptive policing, and other practices that blur the line between justice and social control.

Despite its controversies, the impact of the School of Penal Law was profound. It moved criminology from a philosophical and legalistic domain into a scientific and empirical one. It also reoriented the goals of the criminal justice system from mere punishment to rehabilitation, social protection, and preventive intervention. In doing so, it helped modernize legal systems around the world and laid the foundation for the fields of forensic psychiatry, social criminology, and criminal psychology.

Hence, the **School of Penal Law** represents one of Cesare Lombroso's most enduring contributions to criminological thought. By challenging the assumptions of classical legal philosophy and proposing a science-based, individualized, and preventive approach to crime, Lombroso and his colleagues initiated a paradigm shift that continues to influence criminal justice today. Their work reminds us that understanding crime requires understanding the criminal — a principle that remains at the heart of both humane justice and effective social policy.

## Conclusion: The Legacy of Cesare Lombroso and the Evolution of Criminological Thought

As the 19th century gave way to the 20th, Cesare Lombroso's work stood at a crucial crossroads between the scientific ambitions of his era and the enduring complexities of human behavior. The concluding pages of the document capture the essence of Lombroso's lifelong pursuit: an effort to apply the methods of empirical science to the understanding of crime, while wrestling with the limitations, controversies, and implications of such a project. Lombroso, despite his many errors and outdated assumptions, undeniably transformed criminology into a scientific discipline and left a legacy that scholars continue to debate, refine, and sometimes resist.

One of the central themes in the conclusion is the **duality of Lombroso's contributions**. On the one hand, his detailed observations, vast case studies, and integration of anthropology, psychiatry, and sociology into the study of crime marked a revolutionary break from the purely

legalistic and moral interpretations that had dominated the classical period. Lombroso insisted that crime was not simply an act of free will but often the product of deep biological, psychological, and social forces. In doing so, he humanized criminals to a certain extent, portraying many of them not as monsters deserving pure punishment, but as individuals shaped or even trapped by conditions beyond their immediate control.

On the other hand, the conclusion also acknowledges the **profound limitations and dangers** of Lombroso's theories. His heavy reliance on biological determinism led to problematic generalizations that risked justifying discrimination, racism, and eugenic policies. His method of identifying criminals based on physical features, a hallmark of his theory of atavism has since been discredited as pseudoscience. Moreover, Lombroso's scientific enthusiasm sometimes outpaced the rigor of his analysis, leading him to make sweeping conclusions based on anecdotal or insufficiently controlled evidence.

Despite these flaws, Lombroso's broader intellectual movement, the Positivist School, ushered in a **new scientific consciousness** within the field of criminal justice. He opened vital debates about **individualization of punishment**, **preventive measures**, and the **need for rehabilitation** rather than simple retribution. His ideas prompted legal systems to consider factors like mental illness, social environment, and psychological development when assessing criminal behavior, thus influencing modern institutions such as juvenile courts, psychiatric assessments in criminal trials, and the broader emphasis on rehabilitation.

It also reflects on **how Lombroso adapted** over time. In his later works, Lombroso showed a greater appreciation for environmental and social causes of crime, acknowledging that poverty, lack of education, and poor social conditions could push otherwise non-deviant individuals toward criminality. This evolution in his thinking revealed his capacity for intellectual flexibility, even if it could not completely undo the biological biases entrenched in his earlier work.

It is also pertinent to note that Lombroso should not be judged merely by the accuracy of his specific theories, but by the **paradigm shift** he initiated. He moved the study of crime from the realm of abstract philosophy into the world of empirical investigation. Even where he was wrong, his questions were often the right ones: What causes crime? How do biology and environment interact in shaping behavior? How can society respond to crime in a way that is both just and effective?

Today, Lombroso's name evokes mixed feelings: admiration for his pioneering spirit and critical scrutiny for his scientific shortcomings. Still his influence is undeniable. Criminology, forensic psychology, neurocriminology, and even modern debates about criminal responsibility and risk assessment trace their intellectual roots back to the groundwork he laid. His career offers a compelling lesson in both the power and the difficulties of scientific inquiry and how it can enlighten when guided by humility and rigor, but mislead when clouded by prejudice or hasty generalization.

In final reflection, Cesare Lombroso was neither a villain nor a flawless hero of science. He was a product of his time, a passionate, brilliant, and sometimes flawed thinker who dared to ask difficult questions about human nature and society. His work reminds us that the search for knowledge, especially about something as intricate as crime and morality, is always a journey, not a destination. While modern criminology has evolved far beyond his early frameworks, the spirit of inquiry that he embodied continues to drive the field forward.

Thus, in the full sweep of history, Cesare Lombroso’s legacy is secure, not because he found all the right answers, but because he helped invent the questions that still challenge us today.

**REFERENCES:**

1. Lombroso C, *Criminal Man, According to the Classification of Cesare Lombroso: Briefly Summarised by his Daughter Gina Lombroso Ferrero* (G P Putnam's Sons 1911)

2. Ferracuti S, 'Cesare Lombroso (1835–1907)' (1996) 7(2) *Journal of Forensic Psychiatry* 421.

3. Lombroso C and Ferrero G, *The Female Offender* (William Douglas Morrison tr, Fisher Unwin 1895).

4. Lombroso C and Ferrero G, *The Criminal Woman, the Prostitute, and the Normal Woman* (Nicole Hahn Rafter and Mary Gibson trs, Duke University Press 2004).

5. 'Lombroso and the "criminal type"' *FutureLearn* (The University of Newcastle Australia, 2020) https://www.futurelearn.com/info/courses/australian-crime/0/steps/145050 accessed 26 April 2025.