
SCIENTIFIC SAFEGUARDS IN CRIMINAL TRIALS: THE ROLE OF DNA AND SEMEN ANALYSIS IN STRENGTHENING DEFENCE IN RAPE AND MURDER CASE

Mayankraj Vijay Kumar Sharma, Symbiosis Law School

ABSTRACT

This research study examines the central role of DNA and semen analysis in fortifying criminal defence strategies within rape and murder trial prosecutions. Although forensic science has long been a prosecutorial asset, its utility for the defence—particularly to construct alternative explanations, rule out suspects, and avoid wrongful convictions—is now more strongly appreciated. The article deconstructs the scientific basis of DNA profiling methods such as PCR, STR, and mtDNA, and their use in evidence-based scenarios. It further analyses the significance of semen analysis in rape cases, discussing detection, sample collection, and interpretation techniques. Still, the veracity of such evidence is dependent on procedural adherence and ethical controls since contamination, expert prejudice, lack of knowledge on the part of enforcement and investigative authorities and organizational pressures are able to taint forensic results.

With the help of case studies like Central Park Five and Steven Barnes, and Indian precedents like *State of Gujarat v. Ismail Umerbhai Mansuri* and *Krishna Kumar Malik v. State of Haryana*, the paper highlights the way forensic Methods, instrument incorporation and negligence can secure justice as well as perpetuate injustice. The admissibility of expert evidence in law is discussed under Section 45 of the Indian Evidence Act and at the global level under Daubert. The article also discusses ethical issues, implicit bias, and inequality of access to defence expert testimony, particularly in indigent cases. Lastly, it urges stronger standardization of forensic protocols, more forensic training among law professionals, and systemic changes to democratize access to experts. In this manner, forensic evidence can be made more balanced, ethically robust, and scientifically sound for use by defence in India's adversarial criminal justice system. It is only through this converging strategy of standardizing practice, educating for the skillset, and ensuring equitable access that forensic science can access its full potency as

a truth-seeking enterprise where it functions as a shield for the innocent as much as it functions as a sword for the state.

1. Introduction

Forensic science has become a cornerstone of modern criminal adjudication, offering objective, scientific methodologies to supplement legal reasoning in complex cases. In recent decades, the exponential growth of technology, coupled with increasing demands for evidentiary precision, has transformed how courts evaluate guilt and innocence. Particularly in trials involving rape and murder—where subjective testimonies often clash—scientific evidence like DNA profiling and semen analysis has emerged as a powerful determinant of outcomes.

While forensic science is commonly associated with prosecution, its role in fortifying defence strategies is equally critical. DNA analysis, with its unmatched accuracy, offers near-certain identification or exclusion of individuals. Similarly, semen analysis is pivotal in sexual assault cases, as it links biological material to specific individuals, helping construct or dismantle narratives. These tools enable defence teams to question the reliability of accusations, impeach biased testimonies, and introduce alternative timelines or suspects—especially in cases where wrongful convictions are a realistic danger.

Yet, forensic science is not infallible. Issues like sample contamination, evidence degradation, expert bias, and systemic shortcomings in access and funding raise significant concerns. In India, gaps in procedural standardization and resource disparity between prosecution and defence create further inequities. Ethical dilemmas also emerge, especially where forensic labs operate under law enforcement influence or when defence attorneys lack access to independent scientific expertise.

This paper investigates a key research question: To what extent do DNA and semen analysis serve as reliable, accessible, and ethically grounded tools for strengthening criminal defence in rape and murder trials?

Through an interdisciplinary lens, this research explores the methodologies of DNA and semen analysis, the legal standards for their admissibility, and their practical and ethical implications for defence strategy. Drawing upon landmark cases and statutory frameworks—particularly Section 45 of the Indian Evidence Act—this study aims to demonstrate that the fair application of forensic science not only bolsters truth-seeking but also protects against miscarriages of

justice. In doing so, it advocates for reforms in forensic access, education, and standardization to ensure a more balanced criminal justice system.

2. Understanding Forensic Science

Forensic science involves applying scientific principles to investigate crimes and support legal proceedings. It encompasses diverse fields such as toxicology, ballistics, digital forensics, behavioral analysis, and trace evidence examination. These disciplines help uncover facts through biological, chemical, or psychological insights—often proving pivotal in both prosecution and defence strategies.¹ In cases involving rape, murder, or poisoning, forensic evidence like DNA and semen analysis can establish timelines, validate or refute alibis, or challenge false claims. However, the reliability of such evidence depends on procedural accuracy, scientific validity, and legal admissibility². As courts increasingly rely on forensic tools, consistent education, cross-disciplinary collaboration, and standardized practices are essential to ensure justice is grounded in credible science.

2.1 Definition and Scope

Forensic science is simply taking science and applying it to help solve crimes and assist in court cases. It is a broad area with many specialized areas, all working on different types of evidence to get at the truth. Some of the more old-line areas, including forensic toxicology and ballistics, have been around for decades to aid in criminal investigations. Toxicology is applied to identify drugs, alcohol, or poison in the body to ascertain causes of death or incapacitation, while ballistics analyzes guns, bullets, and their path to connect guns to crime scenes. Trace evidence analysis also analyzes small objects like hair, fibers, or paint to trace suspects directly to a crime scene.

Newer areas like digital forensics have been developed to cater to cybercrimes by unearthing proof from computers and other devices³. Environmental forensics identifies contaminant paths in water or on land to unveil environmental crimes. Forensic science aside from physical evidence includes the field of behavior analysis, making use of psychology and psychiatry to

¹ J. Horswell, *Understanding Forensic Science: Insights from Crime Scene Investigation to Courtroom Testimony* (New York: Springer, 2020) at p. 45.

² P. Kirk, *The Legal Framework of Forensic Evidence* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2020) at p. 78.

³ Colin Beavan, *Forensic Science: A Very Short Introduction* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2019) at p. 92.

decide the criminal mind-set or intention⁴. This wide range of specialization shows how essential forensic science is to the legal system, but with the drawbacks also. There are various approaches to every specialty, making coordination impossible. Moreover, some forensic practices have been called into question for being scientifically sufficient, with most questioning their applicability in court. In order to be credible, the field needs ongoing education, better communication between disciplines, and more uniform procedures.

Forensic science finds application in criminal cases by the prosecutor as well as defence attorneys. Prosecutors may establish evidence of poisoning or intoxication or connect defendants with crimes through the use of toxicology reports, DNA, or fingerprints. During sexual assault incidents, biological specimens like semen analysis may be central. On the other hand, the defence is able to contradict the accusations by the prosecution with forensic science or raise questions on alibis or forensic test results. A suspect might, for instance, be exculpated through DNA, while forensic pathology will challenge a chronological sequence of crimes. On occasions, even lack of evidence may undermine spurious accusations or false claims of self-defence.

There are also, however, shortcomings in forensic science. Factors like evidence contamination, expert bias, or misinterpretation of evidence could affect trial results. In order to give an assurance that only reliable evidence is brought before the court, forensic methods are validated in light of legal standards like the Daubert or Frye tests. If forensic science is to remain a central player in the provision of justice, there must be constant enhancement of techniques, as well as enhanced education for lawyers and judges regarding these scientific methods.

2.2 The function of forensic science in criminal trials

These days forensic science is a crucial component of criminal trials providing scientific evidence that aids in uncovering the truth and benefiting both the prosecution and the defence. Its help ranges from identifying suspects to corroborating alibis; from denying witness testimonies to giving timelines of incidents. It is this twin utility which underlines the impartial nature of forensic science as a tool of justice.

⁴ D. Lawrence & R. Smith, "Expert Witnesses in Forensic Science", (2018) 63(2) *Journal of Forensic Sciences* 582 at p. 585.

The prosecutions mainstay is frequently forensic evidence. Ballistics evidence fingerprints and DNA samples can link suspects to a weapon or crime scene. The presence of substances in a victims system can be demonstrated by toxicology reports confirming theories of intoxication or poisoning. In cases involving sexual assault semen analysis can prove the existence of a suspects biological material supporting the prosecutions case.

For the defence, forensic science provides an opportunity for it to dispute the prosecution claims or introduce alternative explanations for instance, DNA evidence can eliminate the defendant as contributors of biological material found in the scene, or a timeline suggested by forensic pathology would indicate a sequence of events at variance with that alleged by the prosecution. In situations where witness testimony appears inconsistent or untrustworthy this type of discovery frequently represents a turning point. Alibis can also be proven or refuted with the help of forensic science. Forensic evidence such as DNA from an unrelated object soil analysis or cell tower data can be used to support a claim that the perpetrator was somewhere else when the crime was committed. Lack of expected forensic evidence can make the case weaker for someone claiming self-defence due to no gunshot residue.

Although highly significant, the use of forensic science in trials is not without controversy. Contamination of evidence, bias in expert testimony, and misinterpretation of results can affect outcomes. The admissibility of forensic evidence is also subject to rigorous scrutiny under standards such as the Daubert or Frye tests, which evaluate the scientific reliability and relevance of methodologies used.⁵

It only makes sense that with appropriate legal education for attorneys and judges, the advancements ongoing in forensic science must enhance its effectiveness in trials-to ensure that the evidence was valid scientifically but also presented in interpretation well anchored in the principles of justice.

3. DNA Analysis and Its Impact on Legal Proceedings

Contemporary forensic science heavily relies on DNA profiling, using biological evidence like blood, saliva, or hair to link suspects and victims to crime scenes. Techniques such as STR,

⁵ Kirk, *supra* note 2 at p. 112.

PCR, and mtDNA analysis allow even trace or degraded samples to be examined.⁶ While highly accurate, DNA analysis risks false results due to contamination or misinterpretation. Strict protocols and chain-of-custody ensure evidentiary integrity, though logistical delays and ethical concerns remain. DNA has overturned wrongful convictions, such as in the Central Park Five case.⁷ However, overreliance or misuse can threaten justice, underscoring the need for continual training and rigorous forensic standards.

3.1 The Science of DNA

DNA is the molecular blueprint of life: unique to everyone except for an identical twin, it's found to be a crucial marker in any forensic investigation. DNA profiling uses biological elements such as blood, saliva, hair, and other skin cells to link a victim to the crime scene with the suspect. Technological sophistication has now made DNA profiles possible, even in minor or deteriorated samples.⁸

The three main DNA analysis methods are PCR, STR, and mtDNA analysis.⁹ PCR amplifies selected regions of DNA, thereby allowing trace amounts of genetic material to be analyzed. STR analysis looks at the specific loci on the DNA strands, which create a genetic fingerprint that can be compared between samples. Mitochondrial DNA, inherited maternally, is useful in cases of severely degraded samples, like remains in advanced decomposition.

DNA analysis is not foolproof, even though it is powerful. Mistakes can result from collection, processing, or interpretation. False positives and false negatives are both possible. The most vexing challenge in mixed DNA evidence occurs with violent crimes.¹⁰ It is paramount to provide continuous training for the forensic analysts and improve on the software that interprets DNA evidence.

⁶ The International Society for Forensic Genetics, *Guidelines for DNA Evidence Handling and Interpretation* (2021) at p. 15.

⁷ Innocence Project, 'Exonerations: DNA Evidence' (2018), available at <https://www.innocenceproject.org/> (last visited on October 7, 2023).

⁸ J. Zhou, L. Wang & H. Li, "Best Practices in DNA Evidence Collection and Preservation", (2022) 67(3) *Journal of Forensic Sciences* 512 at p. 514.

⁹ 9 Beavan, *supra* note 3 at p. 55.

¹⁰ M. Vernon & D. Ormerod, "The Role of DNA Evidence in Legal Trials", (2018) 82(3) *Journal of Criminal Law* 330 at p. 335.

3.2 Collection and Preservation of DNA Evidence

DNA evidences dependability depends on meticulous procedures for collection and preservation. Strict procedures must be followed by investigators to prevent contamination deterioration or loss of evidentiary value.¹¹ Sterile swabs are normally used in collecting biological samples so that DNA integrity is preserved.¹² Protective clothing and gloves are a must-do to avoid foreign DNA from entering the biological sample while chain-of-custody documentation ensures the legal acceptability of the evidence.

Depending on the type of biological material different preservation techniques are employed. Samples of liquids such as blood are frozen or refrigerated to prevent the growth of bacteria.¹³ Dry samples, such as hair or skin cells, are packaged in breathable materials to avoid mold. Forensic storage is advanced with silica-based desiccants and temperature-controlled facilities, which increase the length of time samples can last.

The processing of DNA samples also presents logistical and ethical obstacles. In forensic laboratories, this creates a backlog in carrying out the analyses, ultimately resulting in delayed justice delivery. There is also privacy as it relates to seeking the collection of DNA either from the suspect or even victims, which calls for proper guidelines and legal regimes for using DNA in investigation in crime.¹⁴

3.3 Case Studies:

The Role of DNA in Defence Trials

DNA evidence has proved really helpful in the convictions of culprits as well as in the exculpation of wrongfully accused people. Such cases include the exculpation of Steven Barnes and his wrongful conviction for murder in 1989.¹⁵ After thirty years had passed, DNA tests upon the evidence excluded him to be the source. Consequently, he was released from prison. This case hints at the importance of DNA evidence in correcting judicial mistakes and

¹¹ The International Society for Forensic Genetics, Guidelines for DNA Evidence Handling and Interpretation (2021) at p. 10.

¹² Zhou et al., *supra* note 8 at p. 516.

¹³ *Ibid.* at p. 518.

¹⁴ R. Fitzgerald, "Forensic DNA Evidence in the Criminal Justice System", (2017) 8(1) Forensic Science Policy & Management 40 at p. 42.

¹⁵ Innocence Project, *supra* note 7.

preventing miscarriages of justice. An additional well-known example is the Central Park Five case.¹⁶ When a convicted serial rapist admitted that he was the real culprit DNA evidence cleared five teenagers who had been wrongfully convicted of assaulting a young woman in 1989 in New York City and had been imprisoned for years. The significance of using DNA analysis as a common defence strategy in criminal trials is illustrated by these cases.

The dependence on DNA evidence, however, carries risks of its own. In some cases, the over-interpretation of partial DNA profiles or probabilistic matching has led to wrong conclusions.¹⁷ Defence attorneys play a critical role in scrutinizing forensic methodologies and ensuring that DNA evidence is presented with proper context.

4. Semen Sampling and Analysis in Sexual Assault Cases

Semen analysis is crucial in forensic investigations of sexual assault, offering strong biological evidence through DNA and microscopic examination. Collected from victims, clothing, or crime scenes, semen aids in identifying suspects, establishing timelines, and supporting victim testimony. Techniques like PSA screening, AP tests, STR, Y-STR, and LC-MS enhance accuracy, even with degraded samples.¹⁸ However, consensual encounters, contamination, and sample degradation complicate interpretation. Ethical collection, particularly within 72 hours, and trauma-informed procedures are essential. Legal scrutiny often focuses on lab protocols and expert credibility. Collaboration across forensic, medical, and legal fields ensures reliable, unbiased results crucial to fair trial outcomes.

4.1 Understanding Semen and Its Forensic Importance

One of the most crucial biological fluids for forensic examination in cases of sexual assault is semen which can link suspects to a victim or crime scene. Semen which is made up of proteins enzymes and sperm cells provides forensic analysis in a number of fields such as DNA and microscopic profiling.

In cases involving consensual sexual activity, the presence of semen may not be definitive evidence of a crime, requiring investigators to contextualize findings alongside other evidence.

¹⁶ Ibid.

¹⁷ Vernon & Ormerod, *supra* note 10 at p. 340.

¹⁸ C. Sullivan, "Forensic Significance of Semen in Sexual Assault Cases", (2022) 294(2) Forensic Science International 106 at p. 108.

Conversely, in cases of violent assault, semen analysis can corroborate victim testimony, establish timelines, and connect or eliminate suspects.

Recent development in semen detection techniques including the use of Prostate-Specific Antigen (PSA) testing, which maximizes sensitivity and specificity to detect semen traces even in samples exposed to environmental degradation.¹⁹ However, the reliability of such methods is said to depend on careful laboratory protocols and proper preservation of the sample.

4.2 Collection Methodologies

The collection of semen samples is a delicate exercise which calls for following the ethical and scientific principles. Samples can be collected from the victim's body, their clothing, or the surrounding crime scene materials. Amongst the tools used are swabs, sterile containers, and absorbent paper, with utmost precautions exercised to avoid any contamination.

In cases involving living victims, collection is typically conducted during forensic medical examinations, often within 72 hours of the assault to ensure sample viability. Examiners prioritize the victim's comfort and consent throughout the process, balancing evidentiary needs with ethical considerations. For deceased victims, forensic pathologists retrieve semen samples during autopsies, documenting findings meticulously to establish timelines and causes of death.

One of the landmark decisions reinforcing the importance of forensic discipline in such contexts is *Krishna Kumar Malik v. State of Haryana*, (2011) 7 SCC 130, where the Supreme Court emphasized that the failure to collect or produce semen samples significantly weakens the prosecution's case.²⁰ The Court held that such omissions—unless explained—create doubts and could provide grounds for the defence to assert forensic inadequacy. This case reinforces the necessity for procedural fidelity and scientific rigour in evidence collection, particularly when such evidence forms the crux of sexual assault allegations.

One challenge in semen sample collection is distinguishing between consensual and non-consensual encounters, particularly in cases involving prior relationships between victims and

¹⁹ R. Mallett & D. Ormerod, "Semen Evidence in Sexual Assault Cases", (2019) 64(2) Journal of Forensic Sciences 481 at p. 483.

²⁰ *Krishna Kumar Malik v. State of Haryana*, (2011) 7 SCC 130.

suspects. This often requires supplemental evidence, such as bruising, psychological assessments, or witness statements, to provide context.²¹

However, the solution to this involves inter-disciplinary collaboration involving the forensic scientist, the medical professional, and legal expertise. Sensitive trauma-informed training programs in such situations will further enhance quality.

4.3 Methods of Analysis

The evidentiary value of a semen sample is grasped through various stages involved in its analysis. First, presumptive tests, such as acid phosphatase (AP) tests, are used to identify the presence of seminal fluid.²² Although the tests are quick and inexpensive material such as vaginal secretions or specific foods can occasionally result in false positive results. Forensic scientists use confirmatory tests like microscopic examination which detects spermatozoa under a microscope to validate the findings. In order to differentiate semen from other biological fluids this step is crucial. DNA profiling which isolates and amplifies genetic material from semen samples is another essential component of semen analysis. Using sophisticated methods like PCR and STR analysis forensic specialists can create DNA profiles that can be used to exclude people or match them to a suspect. Y-STR typing and mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) analysis are used when samples are limited or degraded. Y-STR typing is specific to the Y-chromosome, which makes it a good candidate in cases of sexual assault where multiple male contributors might be involved.²³

Modern forensic laboratories also employ state-of-the-art apparatuses like LC-MS to detect particular biomarkers present within seminal fluid²⁴. Such techniques increase the validity of semen analysis even in cases when classical methods fail. To guarantee accuracy however issues like sample contamination degradation or inhibitor presence must be better addressed. The validity of the forensic techniques employed frequently determines whether semen analysis is admissible in court. Defence lawyers might examine sample interpretation biases forensic expert qualifications and laboratory procedures. Especially in high-stakes cases

²¹ Lawrence & Smith, *supra* note 4 at p. 588.

²² Sullivan, *supra* note 17 at p. 107.

²³ The International Society for Forensic Genetics, *supra* note 6 at p. 29.

²⁴ Mallett & Ormerod, *supra* note 18 at p. 486.

involving rape or sexual assault the success of a trial can be greatly impacted by the ability to effectively refute such evidence.

5. The Legal Framework Surrounding Forensic Evidence

Forensic evidence plays a vital role in modern legal systems but must meet strict legal and scientific standards to be admissible in court. Jurisdictions use criteria like the Daubert and Frye Standards (U.S.) and Section 45 of the Indian Evidence Act to assess reliability. Challenges arise from outdated methods, chain-of-custody lapses, and expert bias. Contamination, degradation, and cognitive biases further complicate forensic validity. While expert testimony aids legal understanding, high costs can hinder fair access. To ensure justice, forensic procedures must be transparent, accurate, and continually improved through organizational reform

5.1 Forensic Evidence Admissibility

In order to be regarded as reliable and relevant during court proceedings forensic evidence must meet strict legal requirements. In order to determine the scientific validity of the evidence the majority of jurisdictions have established standards. These include standards such as the Daubert Standard in the United States or the Frye Standard, which are employed to establish whether the technique applied has been peer-reviewed and is widely accepted within the scientific community and whether there exists a known error rate.

Expert opinion testimony has its legal backbone in India in the Evidence Act, 1872. It is Section 45 of this Act that permits courts to consider opinions from persons having specialized knowledge in, amongst others, biology, chemistry. However, Indian jurisprudence has clarified that expert opinions are not binding on the court. In *State of Gujarat v. Ismail Umerbhai Mansuri*, the Supreme Court ruled that while expert reports are admissible, they are merely advisory and must be independently scrutinized.²⁵ The Court emphasized that in cases where the defence presents alternative expert opinions, the trial court must evaluate each report's reliability, methodology, and impartiality before assigning evidentiary weight. This judgment reaffirms the defence's right to challenge prosecution-led forensic narratives and underscores the judiciary's role in critically assessing scientific claims.

²⁵ *State of Gujarat v. Ismail Umerbhai Mansuri*, (2011) 2 SCC 201.

Forensic evidences admissibility presents defence lawyers with a fantastic chance to contest its scientific foundation. For instance the evidence may be undermined by using antiquated testing techniques improper sample collection or a breach in the chain of custody. Cases like *State of Haryana v. Bhagirath* (1999) reflect the need for procedural safeguards; here, the court rejected evidence due to improper handling.²⁶

Furthermore, evolving technologies present additional challenges in determining admissibility. Despite being revolutionary methods like next-generation sequencing and genetic genealogy might not be widely adopted opening the door for defence objections²⁷. For forensic evidence to be used successfully in court it must meet both legal and scientific standards.

5.2 The Function of Expert Testimony

When it comes to interpreting and presenting forensic evidence in court expert witnesses are essential. With their ability to break down and simplify scientific data for use by judges and jurors, such testimony is an effective power in determining legal outcomes.²⁸ Experts usually contextualize a situation, describe methodologies in place, and explain probable limitations in forensic findings such that evidence can be understood fairly and accurately.

Defence lawyers frequently rigorously cross-examine forensic experts in an effort to uncover biases inconsistencies or shortcomings in their analyses. The qualifications work history and objectivity of the expert witness all affect their credibility.²⁹ Lack of transparency about laboratory protocols or prior instances of professional misconduct can weaken the testimony.

Defence often uses own forensic experts to present alternative interpretations of the same evidence to the court. In cases such as *Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, Inc.*, expert testimony played an important role in determining which scientific evidence should be accepted and which not, to set a precedent for all expert contributions in legal process.³⁰

It also opens the avenues of independent experts to the defence teams, which enables them to challenge state-provided forensic reports more effectively. At the same time, the very high

²⁶ *State of Haryana v. Bhagirath*, (1999) 1 SCC 685.

²⁷ Fitzgerald, *supra* note 13 at p. 45.

²⁸ Lawrence & Smith, *supra* note 4 at p. 582.

²⁹ Lawrence & Smith, *supra* note 4 at p. 586.

³⁰ *Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, Inc.*, 509 U.S. 579 (1993).

costs of hiring an expert can create a disparate access to justice, mainly for indigent defendants. Systemic reforms need to be made to equitably distribute the benefits of forensic science.

5.3 Challenges in the Legal Interpretation of Forensic Evidence

Due primarily to problems with accuracy dependability and bias legal interpretation of forensic evidence presents many difficulties. One of the most frequent problems that can arise at any point during the forensic process from gathering at the scene of a crime to laboratory analysis is evidence contamination. Such contaminated evidence may contain extraneous DNA or biological materials, thus making it useless and irrelevant. For instance, the Amanda Knox case brought forth how contamination plays a significant role in disputing forensic evidence whereby there was significant media and legal attention. Defences teams often pounce on such weaknesses to contest forensic evidence exhibited in court.

Degradation is another technical issue that complicates the interpretation of forensic evidence. Biological materials are sensitive to environmental conditions like heat moisture and time especially DNA and semen samples. These deep ethical concerns about the function of forensic science in the fight for justice are unavoidably raised by these cognitive and technical difficulties.

6. Implicit Bias in Forensic Analysis

One of the main threats to the objectivity and dependability of scientific evidence in forensic analysis is implicit bias. From the gathering and examination of evidence to its presentation in court bias can arise at any point during the forensic process. Usually inadvertent these biases can influence results and court decisions particularly when forensic evidence is the main factor used to determine guilt or innocence. Forensic analysts may unintentionally interpret evidence in ways that support preconceived notions or expectations which is known as cognitive bias including confirmation bias. Even in cases where the evidence is unclear an analyst may have a higher chance of discovering a DNA match if they are aware that a suspect has been identified. Similar to this anchoring bias—the propensity to place a great deal of weight on preliminary data—can affect how later evidence is interpreted resulting in poor decision-making. Institutional and systemic factors also contribute to implicit bias. There may be a conflict of interest because forensic labs are typically set up under the auspices of law enforcement. Even if the results are not supported by science analysts may be subtly pressured

to produce findings that help the prosecution's case. The only way to reduce these risks is to use blind testing procedures in which analysts are not aware of case specifics that could affect their interpretations. In order to combat bias forensic professionals require extensive training and education. Analysts can identify and combat their biases with the support of programs that emphasize critical thinking, statistical literacy, and ethical considerations. Furthermore, forensic science would become more diverse in terms of gender and cultural viewpoints, which would lessen the homogeneity of thought that frequently supports bias. Finding and exposing bias in forensic evidence is a crucial task for defence lawyers. In order to guarantee that forensic science functions as a tool for justice rather than a vehicle for prejudice, they can contest the legitimacy of biased findings through cross-examination and the presentation of alternative expert testimony.

7. Integration of Forensic Science into Defence Strategies

Forensic science plays a critical role in modern criminal trials, but its effective and fair application requires balanced access, standardized procedures, and proper understanding among legal professionals. Defence teams, especially for indigent defendants, often lack access to qualified forensic experts, creating an imbalance with the prosecution. Inconsistent forensic protocols across jurisdictions further compromise evidence credibility. Additionally, gaps in scientific knowledge among lawyers and judges hinder proper evaluation of complex forensic evidence. Addressing these challenges through increased defence access, standardized forensic procedures, and interdisciplinary education can strengthen justice delivery and ensure the fair and reliable use of forensic science in legal proceedings.

7.1 Increased Defence Access to Forensic Experts

Both the defence and the prosecution now rely heavily on forensic evidence in many criminal cases. The defence's ability to refute or validate the prosecution's evidence depends on its access to qualified forensic specialists. However, most defence attorneys, especially in indigent defendant cases, have serious restrictions to independent forensic experts. Indeed, state-funded forensic consultations typically are not easily accessible, and defence lawyers cannot possibly afford forensic specialists, who can critically examine evidence against the accused. This leads to an imbalance; the prosecution has many state-funded forensic sources, while the defence relies on minimal support.

There must be an allocation of more funds for governments and legal aid so that forensic consultations reach defendants who cannot afford it. Such funds can support independent forensic experts who analyze the evidence and then become expert witnesses who also provide alternative interpretations of their findings. Independent forensic experts contribute much to exposing flaws and bias in forensic analysis leading to the exculpation of wrongly convicted persons. For example, DNA tests have been used as ways of clearing the wrongly convicted, and would never have had an opportunity to exist had there been no independent forensic analysis.³¹ Equal access to forensic expertise will, in turn create a level playing field in courts as both sides will get a chance to explain the evidence in scientific terms.³²

Furthermore, independent forensic experts can add to the quality of evidence in defence trials. They provide their expertise not only in analyzing physical evidence but can also serve as crucial witnesses in court and explain the complex forensic concepts to the judge and jury in layman's terms. This is especially important in DNA cases, semen samples, or any other complex scientific evidence that requires interpretation of results. Defence counsel are entitled to consult forensic experts with regard to the gathering of evidence or during all stages of hearing and in post-conviction appeal. With all these being available, this would secure the rights of the accused persons, thus guarantee just and equitable trials to each one without regard to the source of finances.

7.2 Operating procedures for forensics

The criminal justice system relies heavily on forensic science but its credibility is damaged by inconsistent forensic procedures for gathering analyzing and reporting evidence. These discrepancies result from different forensic labs jurisdictions and even forensic specialists using different standards and procedures. These disparities give rise to disagreements regarding the credibility admissibility and weight of evidence in court. Such disparities could also be disproportionate to the defence side since, in criminal processes, the defence may lack the capability or resource needed to contest or reproduce forensic findings to the same par as that of the prosecutions.

Establishment of standardized protocols would eradicate such disparities whereby evidence will be collected and analyzed in uniform accordance with scientifically verified methods and

³¹ Innocence Project, *supra* note 7.

³² Fitzgerald, *supra* note 13 at p. 46.

uniformly accepted by criminal law. The international forensic organizations, such as ASCLD and IAFS, support standardized procedures in the collection, preservation, and analysis of evidence in order to ensure that such evidence is of high quality. It would ensure that there would be a clear procedure to avoid contamination, misinterpretation, or mishandling of evidence. It would further standardize requirements to credit forensic laboratories, so that qualified experts analyze only criminal cases.

Forensic scientists, lawyers, and policymakers need to join forces to standardize the guidelines about the forensic with availability and flexibility across jurisdictions. This will ensure protection of forensic evidence and also promote justice in criminal trials by making sure both parties, prosecution and defence, stand on the same scientific platform of analyzing evidence. Through the standardization of forensic protocols, forensic evidence will become more reliable during the legal proceedings, and results will become more accurate while the likelihood of wrongful conviction or acquittal based on flawed or inconsistent analysis would be minimal .

7.3 Facilitating Education and Training

Among the biggest issues with forensic science in defence strategies are the knowledge differences between the forensic scientists and the lawyers. Since forensic evidence is so technical correctly interpreting it requires a great deal of knowledge. Defence lawyers jurors and judges might not comprehend more complicated forensic ideas like DNA profiling blood spatter analysis or digital forensics if they are not properly trained. This knowledge gap could lead to a misreading of the evidence which would ultimately impact the pursuit of justice and the fairness of trials.

This can be overcome with the education and training of more forensic individuals and legal practitioners. Forensic scientists ought to update themselves on the latest technological advancements and the latest scientific methodologies practiced in their respective fields. Therefore, through this continuous professional development, they are able to carry out the most accurate analysis possible while applying the newer techniques in forensics. However, the trainings that legal professionals should undergo would be a much better understanding of forensic science and how it applies in criminal trials. Such trainings would be fostered through workshops, certifications, and interdisciplinary seminars where both parties will have an opportunity to better communicate to each other so that together they can strengthen defence cases.

Interdisciplinary collaboration between legal professionals and forensic scientists will help fill the knowledge gap. By encouraging this connection between these two domains defence lawyers will be better equipped to assess the forensic evidences credibility and make arguments that refute or validate the prosecutions findings. To be better equipped to determine whether evidence is admissible and whether expert testimony is credible judges should also receive forensic science education whenever feasible. Both training and education on either side of the courtroom would make the legal system more conducive, not only to fulfilling the rights of the accused, but also to achieving a fair trial with respect for forensic science within the system.

8. Conclusion

Currently, forensic science is emerging as the central pillar of justice in the criminal world, offering unique tools that can be used to bring facts to light in difficult cases of rape and murder. DNA and semen analysis in the courtroom completely reorient the evidentiary skyline, allowing courts the ability to decide based on real data rather than surmise. However, such forensic science is not without its problems-the contamination, degradation, and implicit bias are just some that bring forth the necessity for rigorous methodologies and ethical practices within those same methodologies and practices.

This implies that defence lawyers must be able to use forensic science to convict the guilty party and clear the innocent during the process. By closely scrutinizing forensic evidence from collection to interpretation, defence attorneys ensure the justice of a fair trial. In the past forensic science mistakes have led to injustices, such as the wrongful conviction of Cameron Todd Willingham based on flawed arson analysis and the exoneration of Steven Barnes based on DNA testing advancement. These cases demonstrate the necessity of careful defence tactics to counteract forensic biases and techniques. The development of forensic technologies which bring advanced instruments like digital forensics and DNA profiling also brings up new ethical and procedural conundrums. In order to guarantee that evidence is trustworthy open and applied fairly the legal system must change. Fair application of these advances demands close collaboration between scientists, lawyers, and policymakers. Training programs, independent oversight, and clear legal standards could help bridge the gap between science and justice in establishing a system that sustains both truth and fairness.

In conclusion, forensic science is indeed a great tool for justice. But this is only possible with integrity in its application. Hence, with a balanced approach encompassing scientific rigor

along with ethical responsibility, the legal system can utilize its maximum potential to serve for the greater good.