TRACING THE EVOLUTION OF THE RIGHT TO CLEAN AIR FROM ANCIENT INDIAN PHILOSOPHY TO MODERN CONSTITUTIONAL JURISPRUDENCE

Meghna Singh, Research scholar (Corresponding Author), Institute of Legal Studies, Shri Ramswaroop Memorial University, Lucknow - Deva Road, Uttar Pradesh.
ORCID ID: https://orcid.org/0009-0003-5591-5400

Dr. Mahendra Kumar, Assistant Professor, Institute of Legal Studies, Shri Ramswaroop Memorial University, Lucknow- Deva Road, Uttar Pradesh.

ABSTRACT

This paper explores the historical and philosophical evolution of the "Right to Clean Air" in India, tracing its roots from ancient wisdom to its modern constitutional recognition. We begin by examining ancient Indian texts, such as the Vedas and Upanishads, which emphasize the interconnectedness of all life and the sanctity of the natural world, including the atmosphere. Concepts like "Panchbhuta" (the five elements, including Vayu or air) and the notion of a pristine environment as essential for spiritual and physical well-being are analyzed to reveal a foundational, albeit implicit, right to clean air. Moving forward, the paper examines the shift from these philosophical underpinnings to the explicit legal and constitutional framework of modern India. The study highlights the role of judicial activism, particularly through landmark Supreme Court judgments, in interpreting Article 21 of the Constitution (Right to Life) to encompass the right to a clean and healthy environment, and by extension, the right to clean air. This journey from an ethical and religious duty to a justiciable fundamental right reflects a growing societal awareness and legal sophistication in addressing environmental concerns. By synthesizing these diverse historical and legal threads, this abstract aims to demonstrate how a deep-seated cultural reverence for nature has been transformed into a powerful legal instrument for environmental protection in contemporary India.

Keywords: Right to Clean Air, Ancient Indian Philosophy, Constitutional Jurisprudence, Judicial Activism, Article 21

Introduction

Background and Significance of the Right to Clean Air

The quality of the air we breathe is fundamental to human health, well-being, and indeed, survival. Across the globe, air pollution has emerged as a pervasive and critical environmental challenge, contributing significantly to respiratory diseases, cardiovascular problems, and premature mortality. The World Health Organization (WHO) estimates that ambient (outdoor) air pollution alone causes millions of premature deaths annually, making it one of the leading environmental risks to health. In densely populated and rapidly industrializing nations like India, the problem is particularly acute. Major urban centers frequently record alarming levels of particulate matter and other pollutants, far exceeding national and international safety standards. This pervasive environmental degradation not only imposes a colossal burden on public health systems but also diminishes the overall quality of life, impacts economic productivity, and exacerbates social inequalities, as marginalized communities often bear the brunt of environmental pollution.

The recognition of a "Right to Clean Air" is thus not merely an environmental aspiration but a crucial human rights imperative. It underscores the understanding that access to a healthy environment, free from harmful pollutants, is inextricably linked to the fundamental right to life, dignity, and health. This right empowers citizens to demand accountability from governments and industries, fostering a framework for environmental governance that prioritizes public health and ecological integrity. Its significance lies in its capacity to transform environmental protection from a discretionary policy matter into a legally enforceable entitlement, thereby providing a robust legal basis for advocacy, litigation, and policy interventions aimed at mitigating air pollution and promoting sustainable development.

Bridging Ancient Wisdom and Modern Jurisprudence

This paper posits that the contemporary legal recognition of the "Right to Clean Air" in India, particularly through its enshrinement within constitutional jurisprudence, is not a radical departure but rather a modern articulation of deeply ingrained philosophical and ethical principles found in ancient Indian thought[1]. While ancient texts did not explicitly codify a

[1] O.P. Dwivedi, *Environmental Ethics: An Indian Perspective*, Orient Blackswan 2018

"right" in the modern legal sense, they propagated a profound reverence for nature, an understanding of the interconnectedness of all elements, and a holistic view of human well-being intrinsically linked to a pristine environment. This research argues that the implicit environmental consciousness embedded in concepts such as *Panchbhuta* (the five fundamental elements, including *Vayu* or air)[2], the emphasis on ecological balance (*dharma*), and the spiritual significance attributed to natural resources, laid a foundational ethical groundwork. This ancient wisdom, which viewed the environment not merely as a resource but as an integral part of existence and a source of spiritual purity, has subtly yet significantly informed the evolution of environmental consciousness in India. Subsequently, modern Indian constitutional jurisprudence, particularly through the expansive interpretation of Article 21 (Right to Life) by the judiciary, has effectively translated these ancient ethical imperatives into justiciable fundamental rights, thereby bridging the chasm between philosophical reverence and legal enforceability.

Scope and Methodology

The scope of this paper is confined to tracing the conceptual and legal evolution of the "Right to Clean Air" specifically within the Indian context. It commence with an exploration of selected ancient Indian philosophical and religious texts to identify underlying principles that reflect an implicit environmental ethic concerning air quality. This involves a qualitative analysis of Sanskrit scriptures, philosophical treatises, and traditional practices to discern nascent ideas related to environmental preservation and the purity of atmospheric elements.

Following this historical and philosophical groundwork, the paper will transition to an examination of modern Indian constitutional law. The primary focus is on the interpretative journey undertaken by the Indian judiciary, particularly the Supreme Court, in expanding the ambit of fundamental rights to encompass environmental protection. Key landmark judgments like- *M.K. Ranjitsinh v Union of India*[3], where the Supreme Court recognized the right against the adverse effects of climate change as flowing from Articles 14, 21, 48A, and 51A(g); *M.C. Mehta v. Union of India* (Taj Trapezium Case)[4], dealt with air pollution affecting the Taj Mahal, directing industries to shift or adopt cleaner fuels, linking environmental protection to cultural

[2] *Bhagavad Gita*, Chapter 7, Verse 4
[3] 2024 SCC OnLine SC 570.
[4] AIR 1997 SC 734

heritage and Article 21; *Subhash Kumar v. State of Bihar and Ors*[5]. , explicitly stated that the "right to life" under Article 21 includes the "right of enjoyment of pollution free water and air for full enjoyment of life, have directly or indirectly contributed to the recognition of the "Right to Clean Air" as an integral component of the Right to Life (Article 21) is critically analyzed. This involves a detailed study of judicial reasoning, the evolution of legal precedents, and the impact of public interest litigation (PIL) in shaping environmental jurisprudence.

The methodology employed is primarily analytical and interpretative. It involves a textual analysis of ancient Indian scriptures and philosophical works, followed by a jurisprudential analysis of constitutional provisions and significant judicial pronouncements[6]. The research adopts a historical-comparative approach, drawing parallels and identifying continuities between ancient philosophical tenets and contemporary legal frameworks. This interdisciplinary methodology aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of how the "Right to Clean Air" in India is not merely a product of modern environmental activism but also a reflection of a deep-rooted cultural and ethical heritage.

Ancient Indian Philosophical Foundations of Environmental Ethics

Vedic and Upanishadic Concepts of Nature, Purity, and Cosmic Harmony

Ancient Indian thought, particularly as encapsulated in the Vedas and Upanishads, laid a profound philosophical groundwork for an environmental ethic that, while not explicitly legal, deeply influenced the perception of nature and human responsibility towards it. The Vedas, considered the earliest scriptures, articulate a worldview where the natural world is imbued with divinity. Deities such as Indra (rain, thunder), Surya (sun), Vayu (wind), Agni (fire), and Prithvi (earth) are personifications of natural forces, worshipped and revered[7]. This deification fostered a sense of respect and gratitude towards the elements, promoting a harmonious coexistence rather than a relationship of domination. The concept of Ṛta, or cosmic order, is central to Vedic thought, suggesting an inherent balance and rhythm in the universe that humans are expected to align with. Any disruption to this natural order was believed to have negative consequences, not just for the environment but for human well-being.

[5] AIR 1991 SC 420
[6] Shyam Divan and Armin Rosencranz, *Environmental Law and Policy in India: Cases and Materials*, Oxford University Press, 2020
[7] Arthur Berriedale Keith, *The Religion and Philosophy of the Veda and Upanishads*, Motilal Banarsidass, 1925

The Upanishads, which delve deeper into philosophical inquiry, further elaborate on the interconnectedness of all existence. The concept of Brahman (the ultimate reality) and Atman (the individual soul) being one and the same (Tat Tvam Asi - That thou art) extends the idea of divinity to all living beings and natural phenomena. This metaphysical unity implies that harming any part of nature is, in essence, harming oneself. The Panchbhuta theory[8]—the five fundamental elements of Earth (Prithvi), Water (Ap), Fire (Tejas), Air (Vayu), and Ether/Space (Akasha)—is a cornerstone of this understanding. These elements are not merely physical components but are seen as the building blocks of the entire cosmos and all living organisms. The purity and balance of Vayu (air) were considered vital for sustenance and spiritual practices like pranayama (breath control), implicitly recognizing the importance of clean air for life[9]. The texts often contain injunctions against polluting water bodies, cutting trees unnecessarily, or disturbing the atmosphere, reflecting an early awareness of environmental preservation driven by spiritual and ethical considerations.

Arthashastra and Ancient Legal Traditions: Implicit Environmental Regulations

While the Vedas and Upanishads provided the philosophical and ethical foundation, ancient Indian legal and administrative texts, such as Kautilya's Arthashastra (circa 3rd-4th century BCE), demonstrate a more pragmatic approach to environmental management, incorporating implicit environmental regulations within statecraft[10]. The Arthashastra, a treatise on statecraft, economic policy, and military strategy, reveals a sophisticated understanding of resource management and conservation. Kautilya recognized forests, water bodies, and wildlife as vital state assets, essential for economic prosperity and strategic advantage.

The text outlines specific roles for state officials, such as the Kupyadhyaksha (Superintendent of Forest Produce) and Vyavaharika (Superintendent of Commerce), who were responsible for sustainable harvesting, forest protection, and wildlife management[11]. It classified different types of forests (e.g., productive forests, elephant forests, game forests) and prescribed detailed

[8]O.P. Dwivedi, *Hinduism and Ecology: The Intersection of Dharma and Environmentalism*, Routledge 2019
[9] Kashmira Dave, "Environmental Ethics in Hindu Scriptures: Ancient Wisdom for Modern Challenges", https://www.researchgate.net/publication/391990242_Environmental_Ethics_in_Hindu_Scriptures_Ancient_Wisdom_for_Modern_Challenges#:~:text=Specific%20scriptural%20injunctions%20promote%20practices,for%20the%20planet's%20well%2Dbeing, (Accessed August 2, 2025)
[10] L.N. Rangarajan, R. Shamasastry, *The Arthashastra (Translation)*, Penguin Classics, 2022
[11]Mala Chandrashekhara, "Chanakya's Eco-Wisdom: The Arthashastra's Guide to Environmental Management", https://cultureandheritage.org/2024/03/chanakyas-eco-wisdom-the-arthashastras-guide-to-environmental-management.html (Accessed August 3, 20250

rules for their maintenance. Notably, the Arthashastra included stringent penalties for actions that harmed the environment, such as unauthorized cutting of trees, poaching, or polluting water sources. Fines were imposed for damaging royal gardens, fruit orchards, or even for causing an impediment to the flow of wastewater, indicating an early form of environmental legislation and a recognition of public health and sanitation[12].

Although these regulations were primarily driven by economic and administrative concerns (e.g., ensuring revenue, maintaining resources for warfare), they indirectly served to protect the environment. The emphasis on sustainable resource utilization, the establishment of protected areas (like elephant forests), and the imposition of penalties for environmental damage suggest a rudimentary, yet effective, system of environmental governance. This demonstrates that ancient Indian legal traditions, even without an explicit "right to clean air" in the modern sense, incorporated principles that led to the preservation of natural resources and, by extension, contributed to a healthier environment.

Influence of Jainism, Buddhism, and other Dharmic Traditions on Environmental Stewardship

Beyond the Vedic and Upanishadic traditions, other Dharmic philosophies like Jainism and Buddhism significantly reinforced and expanded the concept of environmental stewardship in ancient India, particularly through their emphasis on non-violence and interconnectedness.

Jainism: The cornerstone of Jain philosophy is Ahimsa (non-violence) towards all living beings. This principle extends not only to humans and animals but also to plants, water, air, and even microscopic life forms. Jains believe that every living entity possesses a soul (jiva), and therefore, causing harm to any jiva generates negative karma[13]. This profound reverence for all life translates into a highly disciplined lifestyle that minimizes environmental impact. Jain practices such as strict vegetarianism/veganism, avoiding root vegetables (to prevent harm to microorganisms in the soil), filtering water, and even sweeping the ground before walking (to avoid crushing insects) are direct manifestations of their commitment to Ahimsa. The Jain aphorism Parasparopagraho Jivanam[14] ("All life is bound together by mutual support and

[12] Reena Chandra, "Environmental Conservation in Kautilya Arthasastra", ResearchGate (Accessed August 3, 2025)
[13] Aidan Rankin, *Jainism and Environmental Philosophy: Karma and the Web of Life*, Routledge 2020
[14] Christopher Key Chapple, Satyaranjan Banerjee, *Jainism and Ecology: Non Violence in the Web of Life*, Motilal Banarsidass Publishing House, 2021

interdependence") encapsulates their ecological philosophy, recognizing the symbiotic relationship between all components of the ecosystem. This deep ethical commitment to non-harm naturally fosters a strong sense of environmental stewardship.

Buddhism: Buddhism, founded by Siddhartha Gautama, also places a strong emphasis on compassion (Karuna) and interconnectedness (Pratītyasamutpāda - dependent origination). The Buddhist doctrine posits that all phenomena arise in dependence upon other phenomena, highlighting the intricate web of relationships in the natural world. This understanding implies that environmental degradation affects not only humans but all sentient beings. The Buddha himself spent significant periods in forests and encouraged his monastic followers (Sangha) to live in harmony with nature, often prescribing rules against harming trees or polluting water sources. The concept of "Middle Path" (moderation) in Buddhism encourages a lifestyle of minimal consumption and non-attachment to material possessions, which inherently leads to reduced resource exploitation and environmental impact[15]. Buddhist teachings promote mindfulness towards one's actions and their consequences on the environment, fostering a sense of responsibility for ecological well-being[16].

These Dharmic traditions, through their core tenets of non-violence, interconnectedness, and compassionate living, provided powerful ethical frameworks that encouraged environmental protection and stewardship. They instilled a cultural ethos where the purity of nature was not just a physical state but a moral and spiritual imperative, laying a crucial foundation for later legal and constitutional developments in environmental jurisprudence.

Historical Trajectory: From Ancient Ethos to Modern Challenges

Continuity and Decline of Environmental Consciousness in Medieval India

The strong environmental ethos embedded in ancient Indian philosophy, characterized by reverence for nature and a holistic understanding of cosmic harmony, experienced a complex trajectory during the medieval period. While the explicit philosophical underpinnings of environmental ethics persisted in various forms, their practical application and widespread adherence saw both continuity and a gradual decline, influenced by shifting socio-political

[15] Pragati Sahni, *Environmental Ethics in Buddhism: A Virtues Approach*, Routledge 2007
[16] Simon P. James, *Zen Buddhism and Environmental Ethics*, Routledge (Taylor & Francis) 2017

landscapes and increasing population pressures[17].

In many local communities, the ancient traditions of environmental stewardship continued. The practice of maintaining sacred groves (Devrai or Orans), where specific forest patches were protected due to their association with deities or ancestral spirits, remained prevalent. These groves served as biodiversity hotspots and natural resource reservoirs, demonstrating a community-driven conservation effort. Traditional water harvesting systems, often rooted in ancient wisdom, continued to be employed, reflecting a sustained understanding of the vital role of water purity. Islamic rulers, while bringing new architectural and agricultural practices, also contributed to environmental management through the establishment of gardens, parks, and sophisticated irrigation networks, sometimes inheriting and improving upon existing systems. The emphasis on cleanliness and hygiene in Islamic traditions also indirectly contributed to environmental sanitation in urban areas.

However, the medieval period also witnessed a gradual weakening of the comprehensive environmental consciousness that marked earlier eras. Increased population density, coupled with the demands of growing urban centers and expanding agricultural frontiers, led to greater resource extraction. The rise of more centralized, often extractive, state structures, particularly during later medieval empires, sometimes prioritized revenue generation and military needs over ecological balance. While not on the scale of industrialization, localized deforestation for timber, fuel, and agricultural expansion began to put pressure on natural ecosystems. The philosophical ideals of harmony with nature remained, but the practical enforcement of environmental protection became more fragmented, often dependent on the individual ruler's or local community's priorities rather than a consistently applied state policy. This period, therefore, represents a transition where ancient wisdom continued to influence cultural practices, but systemic environmental degradation began to emerge due to evolving societal demands and governance structures.

Impact of Colonial Rule and Industrialization on Air Quality and Environmental Governance

The advent of British colonial rule in India marked a profound and detrimental shift in environmental governance and had a significant impact on air quality, particularly in emerging

[17] Vandana Shiva, *Ecology and the Politics of Survival: Conflicts Over Natural Resources in India*, Sage Publications 2021

industrial centers. The colonial administration's primary objective was the systematic exploitation of India's natural resources to serve imperial economic interests, fundamentally altering the existing relationship between humans and nature[18].

Large-scale deforestation was undertaken to meet the demands of the British Empire for timber, especially for railway expansion, shipbuilding, and fuel for nascent industries. This led to massive habitat loss, soil erosion, and altered local climate patterns, indirectly affecting air quality through increased dust and reduced natural air purification. The introduction of commercial forestry, prioritizing valuable timber species, replaced traditional, more sustainable forest management practices.

Industrialization, though limited compared to Europe, began to take root in India under colonial patronage, primarily in port cities and resource-rich regions. Textile mills, jute factories, and coal mines were established, operating with little to no environmental regulation[19]. The widespread use of coal as a primary energy source for these industries and for railways led to unprecedented levels of localized air pollution. Smoke, soot, and particulate matter became common features of urban and industrial landscapes.

Case Study: Early Industrial Pollution in Calcutta (Late 19th - Early 20th Century)

Calcutta (now Kolkata), as the capital of British India and a major port city, became a hub of industrial activity, particularly jute mills and factories. The burning of coal in these factories, combined with domestic coal fires and early vehicular emissions, led to severe air pollution. Contemporary accounts, though not providing precise quantitative data, frequently describe the city as being shrouded in smoke and smog. Respiratory illnesses were prevalent, and the air quality was visibly poor. The colonial administration, focused on economic output, largely ignored these environmental consequences. There was no comprehensive legal framework or administrative body dedicated to pollution control. Any concerns were typically addressed reactively and superficially, often only when public health crises became undeniable or when the pollution affected European residential areas. This period marked a clear departure from

[18] Mahesh Rangarajan, *Environmental History of India: From Ancient Times to the Colonial Period*, Permanent Black 2011

[19] Peter van der Veer, *Imperial Encounters: Religion and Modernity in India and Britain*, Princeton University Press 2001

the ancient ethos, as economic imperatives completely overshadowed environmental considerations, leading to significant and unregulated degradation of air quality.

Post-Independence Environmental Awakening and Early Policy Initiatives

Following India's independence in 1947, the initial decades were largely characterized by a strong emphasis on rapid industrialization and economic development, often under the paradigm of "development at any cost." Environmental concerns were largely secondary, viewed as potential impediments to progress. Large-scale infrastructure projects, dams, and industrial complexes were prioritized, frequently leading to significant ecological disruption without adequate environmental impact assessments or mitigation measures.

However, the late 1960s and early 1970s witnessed a nascent environmental awakening in India, influenced by growing global environmental movements and increasing domestic awareness of the visible impacts of pollution. A pivotal moment was India's participation in the **United Nations Conference on the Human Environment in Stockholm in 1972**. Prime Minister Indira Gandhi's address at the conference, where she famously linked poverty with pollution, highlighted India's unique environmental challenges and the need for a balanced approach to development.

This international exposure, coupled with increasing domestic environmental activism (e.g., the Chipko Movement), spurred the Indian government to take its first significant policy steps. In 1972, the **National Committee on Environmental Planning and Coordination (NCEPC)** was established under the Department of Science and Technology, marking the first institutional attempt at environmental planning. This was followed by the enactment of foundational environmental legislation:

- **The Water (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1974:** This was the first comprehensive legislation aimed at preventing and controlling water pollution, establishing central and state pollution control boards.

- **The Air (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1981:** Following the footsteps of the Water Act, this legislation provided for the prevention, control, and abatement of air pollution, establishing a framework for regulating industrial emissions and setting air quality standards.

These early initiatives, though sometimes limited in their enforcement and scope, represented a crucial turning point. They signified the formal recognition by the Indian state that environmental protection was a legitimate area of governance, laying the groundwork for the more robust environmental jurisprudence and policy frameworks that would emerge in the subsequent decades, particularly through judicial intervention.

Modern Constitutional Jurisprudence and the Right to Clean Air

Constitutional Basis: Article 21 (Right to Life) and its Expansive Interpretation

In modern India, the "Right to Clean Air" is not explicitly enumerated in the Constitution, yet it has been firmly established as an integral part of the fundamental Right to Life guaranteed under Article 21. This article states: "No person shall be deprived of his life or personal liberty except according to procedure established by law." The Indian judiciary, particularly the Supreme Court, has adopted a remarkably expansive and dynamic interpretation of Article 21, moving beyond a narrow understanding of "life" as mere physical existence to encompass a life of dignity, quality, and well-being[20].

This interpretative evolution began with the recognition that a healthy environment is a prerequisite for a dignified life. Without clean air, water, and a pollution-free atmosphere, the very essence of life and personal liberty is compromised. The judiciary has consistently held that environmental degradation directly infringes upon the fundamental right to life, as it impacts health, livelihood, and the overall quality of human existence. This judicial activism has effectively transformed a non-justiciable Directive Principle of State Policy (Article 48A, which directs the State to protect and improve the environment) and a Fundamental Duty (Article 51A(g), which enjoins citizens to protect the natural environment) into enforceable fundamental rights. By linking environmental protection to Article 21, the Supreme Court has provided citizens with a powerful legal tool to seek redress against pollution and environmental degradation, holding both the state and private entities accountable for their actions affecting air quality.

[20] Shyam Divan and Armin Rosencranz, *Environmental Law and Policy in India: Cases and Materials*, Oxford University Press, 2020

Landmark Judicial Pronouncements: Supreme Court and High Court Rulings

The journey of establishing the Right to Clean Air as a fundamental right has been shaped by a series of landmark judgments from the Supreme Court and various High Courts. These rulings have not only defined the scope of this right but also laid down principles for environmental governance and pollution control[21].

One of the earliest and most significant pronouncements came in **Subhash Kumar v. State of Bihar (1991 AIR 420, 1991 SCR (1) 5)**. In this case, the Supreme Court unequivocally declared that the "right to life" under Article 21 includes the "right of enjoyment of pollution free water and air for full enjoyment of life." This judgment provided a clear constitutional basis for environmental protection and allowed citizens to approach the Supreme Court under Article 32 for the enforcement of this right.

The series of cases filed by environmental activist lawyer **M.C. Mehta** against the Union of India and various polluting industries played a pivotal role in shaping environmental jurisprudence. For instance:

- **M.C. Mehta v. Union of India (Oleum Gas Leak Case) (AIR 1987 SC 965)**: While primarily dealing with hazardous industries and the principle of "absolute liability," this case underscored the judiciary's proactive role in protecting public health from industrial pollution, which inherently includes air pollution.

- **M.C. Mehta v. Union of India (Taj Trapezium Case) (AIR 1997 SC 734)**: This landmark case specifically addressed air pollution caused by industries in the vicinity of the Taj Mahal. The Supreme Court, invoking Article 21, directed polluting industries to either shift out of the Taj Trapezium Zone or switch to cleaner fuels like natural gas. This judgment demonstrated the Court's willingness to issue specific directives to protect air quality and cultural heritage.

- **M.C. Mehta v. Union of India (Vehicular Pollution Case)**: In a series of orders, the Supreme Court took stringent measures to control vehicular pollution in Delhi, including directing the conversion of public transport to Compressed Natural Gas

[21] S.P. Sathe, *Judicial Activism in India*, Oxford University Press 2001

(CNG), phasing out old vehicles, and implementing stricter emission norms. These interventions directly aimed at improving urban air quality.

More recently, in **M.K. Ranjitsinh & Ors. v. Union of India & Ors. (2024 SCC OnLine SC 570)**, the Supreme Court further expanded the environmental rights discourse by recognizing a "right against the adverse effects of climate change" as flowing from Articles 14 and 21. While this case primarily concerned the protection of the Great Indian Bustard, its broader implications for air quality and environmental stability are significant, as climate change impacts directly affect air quality.

These judicial pronouncements have transformed environmental protection from a mere policy matter into a fundamental constitutional mandate, making the Right to Clean Air a legally enforceable right in India.

Legislative Framework: Key Environmental Acts and Rules

Complementing the robust judicial interpretation, India has also developed a legislative framework to address environmental concerns, including air pollution. The most significant legislation directly dealing with air quality is:

- **The Air (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1981:** This is the primary statutory instrument for regulating air pollution in India. Enacted to implement the decisions of the Stockholm Conference (1972) concerning the preservation of the human environment, the Act provides for:
    - **Prevention, control, and abatement of air pollution:** It defines "air pollutant" and "air pollution" broadly.
    - **Establishment of Central and State Pollution Control Boards (CPCB and SPCBs):** These boards are vested with powers and functions to implement the Act, including laying down standards for air quality, inspecting pollution control equipment, and issuing consent orders for industrial operations.
    - **Power to declare air pollution control areas:** The government can declare specific areas as "air pollution control areas" and restrict the use of certain fuels or industrial operations within them.

- **Penalties for non-compliance:** The Act prescribes penalties for violations of its provisions, including imprisonment and fines.

In addition to the Air Act, other key environmental legislation indirectly contributes to air quality management:

- **The Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 (EPA):** Enacted in the wake of the Bhopal Gas Tragedy, the EPA is an umbrella legislation that grants the Central Government wide-ranging powers to take measures for environmental protection and improvement. It allows for the framing of rules on various aspects, including emission standards for industries and vehicles, and hazardous waste management, all of which impact air quality.

- **The National Green Tribunal Act, 2010:** This Act established the National Green Tribunal (NGT) for effective and expeditious disposal of cases relating to environmental protection and conservation of forests and other natural resources. The NGT has played a crucial role in enforcing environmental laws, including those related to air pollution, and has the power to award compensation for environmental damage.

These legislative measures provide the institutional and legal machinery for the implementation of environmental policies and the enforcement of the Right to Clean Air, working in tandem with the judiciary to ensure a healthier environment for all citizens.

Challenges in Enforcement and Future Directions

Despite a robust constitutional and legislative framework, the effective realization of the Right to Clean Air in India faces significant challenges. These hurdles often lie in the gap between legal provisions and their on-ground implementation, compounded by complex socio-economic realities.

Implementation Gaps and Enforcement Deficiencies

A primary challenge is the implementation gap and enforcement deficiencies of existing environmental laws. Pollution Control Boards (CPCB and SPCBs), though mandated to regulate and monitor, often suffer from understaffing, inadequate technical expertise, and limited financial resources. This hampers their ability to conduct regular inspections, monitor

emissions effectively, and take timely action against violators. The sheer scale of industrial and vehicular pollution, coupled with diffused sources like construction dust and biomass burning, makes comprehensive monitoring a daunting task. Furthermore, the penalties prescribed in acts like the Air Act, 1981, are sometimes perceived as insufficient deterrents, especially for large industries, leading to a cost-benefit analysis where paying fines might be cheaper than investing in pollution control technologies. The legal process can also be slow and cumbersome, leading to prolonged litigation that delays justice and allows pollution to continue unabated.

Socio-Economic Factors and Policy Hurdles

Socio-economic factors present significant policy hurdles. Poverty and livelihood concerns often compel communities to rely on polluting practices, such as burning biomass for cooking and heating, or engaging in informal industrial activities with poor emission controls. Balancing the imperative of economic development with environmental protection remains a delicate act. Rapid urbanization and population growth exacerbate air pollution, as infrastructure development struggles to keep pace with increased demand for energy, transport, and waste management. Policy coordination across various government departments (e.g., transport, industry, urban development, agriculture) is often lacking, leading to fragmented approaches to air quality management. Political will, at times, may also be inconsistent, especially when environmental regulations conflict with short-term economic gains or electoral considerations.

Recommendations for Strengthening the Right to Clean Air: Legal, Policy, and Societal Reforms

Strengthening the Right to Clean Air requires a multi-pronged approach encompassing legal, policy, and societal reforms:

- **Legal Reforms:**
    - **Enhance penal provisions:** Increase fines and introduce more stringent penalties for environmental violations to create stronger deterrents.
    - **Streamline legal processes:** Expedite environmental litigation through specialized courts or fast-track mechanisms within the NGT.

- **Strengthen public participation:** Facilitate easier access to environmental information and empower citizen groups to participate more effectively in monitoring and enforcement.

- **Policy Reforms:**

  - **Invest in monitoring infrastructure:** Significantly increase funding for CPCB and SPCBs to enhance their technical capabilities, deploy advanced monitoring systems, and recruit skilled personnel.

  - **Promote cleaner technologies:** Offer incentives for industries and individuals to adopt cleaner production methods, renewable energy sources, and electric vehicles.

  - **Integrate air quality management:** Develop comprehensive, cross-sectoral air action plans that address all major sources of pollution (industrial, vehicular, domestic, agricultural, construction) with clear targets and accountability mechanisms.

  - **Support sustainable livelihoods:** Implement policies that provide viable alternatives to polluting practices for vulnerable communities, such as access to clean cooking fuels.

- **Societal Reforms:**

  - **Increase public awareness and education:** Launch extensive campaigns to educate citizens about the health impacts of air pollution and their role in mitigation.

  - **Foster behavioral change:** Encourage sustainable consumption patterns, public transport usage, and responsible waste management.

  - **Strengthen civil society engagement:** Support environmental NGOs and community organizations in their advocacy, monitoring, and awareness-raising efforts.

By addressing these challenges through concerted legal, policy, and societal efforts, India can

move closer to ensuring the fundamental Right to Clean Air for all its citizens, truly bridging the gap between ancient wisdom and modern environmental justice.

Conclusion

The journey of the Right to Clean Air in India is a compelling narrative that spans millennia, evolving from an implicit reverence for nature in ancient philosophy to a judicially recognized fundamental right in modern constitutional jurisprudence. This Canvas document has traced this intricate evolution, highlighting both continuity and significant shifts in humanity's relationship with the atmospheric environment.

In ancient India, the concept of clean air was deeply embedded within a holistic worldview. Texts like the Vedas and Upanishads, through the deification of natural elements and the theory of Panchbhuta, fostered a profound sense of cosmic harmony. The purity of Vayu (air) was a spiritual imperative, essential for well-being. While not a codified "right," this ethical framework instilled a duty of care. The Arthashastra demonstrated pragmatic environmental management with implicit regulations and penalties. Dharmic traditions like Jainism and Buddhism amplified this ethos through Ahimsa (non-violence) and Pratītyasamutpāda (interdependent origination), advocating for minimal environmental harm.

The historical trajectory saw a complex shift. Medieval India experienced a gradual decline in comprehensive environmental consciousness due to population pressures and extractive state policies. The most significant rupture occurred during colonial rule and early industrialization, leading to unprecedented and unregulated air pollution, exemplified by cities like Calcutta. Post-independence, initial focus on industrialization shifted to an environmental awakening influenced by global movements and the 1972 Stockholm Conference. This led to key legislation like the Water (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1974, and the Air (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1981.

The true breakthrough came through modern constitutional jurisprudence. The Indian Supreme Court, through its expansive interpretation of Article 21 (Right to Life), transformed the right to a clean and healthy environment, including clean air, into a fundamental, justiciable right. Landmark judgments, from Subhash Kumar v. State of Bihar to the M.C. Mehta series of cases and M.K. Ranjitsinh & Ors. v. Union of India & Ors., consistently reinforced this right, holding actors accountable and issuing directives for pollution control. The establishment of the

National Green Tribunal (NGT) further strengthened enforcement. This judicial activism effectively bridged the gap, translating ancient ethical imperatives into powerful legal instruments.

Despite this robust framework, significant challenges in enforcement persist, including implementation gaps, understaffing in pollution control bodies, and socio-economic hurdles. Looking to the future, strengthening the Right to Clean Air demands comprehensive reforms. This includes enhancing penal provisions, streamlining legal processes, fostering greater public participation, and investing in advanced monitoring infrastructure. Policy reforms must promote cleaner technologies, integrate cross-sectoral air quality management plans, and support sustainable livelihoods. Crucially, societal reforms through heightened public awareness, behavioral change, and stronger civil society engagement are vital. India's ongoing quest is to translate these legal and ethical mandates into tangible improvements in air quality, ensuring a healthy and dignified life for all citizens.

References

Books:

Aidan Rankin, Jainism and Environmental Philosophy: Karma and the Web of Life, Routledge 2020

Arthur Berriedale Keith, The Religion and Philosophy of the Veda and Upanishads, Motilal Banarsidass, 1925

Bhagavad Gita, Chapter 7, Verse 4

Christopher Key Chapple, Satyaranjan Banerjee, *Jainism and Ecology: Non Violence in the Web of Life*, Motilal Banarsidass Publishing House, 2021

L.N. Rangarajan, R. Shamasastry, *The Arthashastra (Translation)*, Penguin Classics, 2022

Mahesh Rangarajan, *Environmental History of India: From Ancient Times to the Colonial Period*, Permanent Black 2011

O.P. Dwivedi, *Environmental Ethics: An Indian Perspective*, Orient Blackswan 2018

O.P. Dwivedi, Hinduism and Ecology: The Intersection of Dharma and Environmentalism, Routledge 2019

Pragati Sahni, *Environmental Ethics in Buddhism: A Virtues Approach*, Routledge 2007

Peter van der Veer, *Imperial Encounters: Religion and Modernity in India and Britain*, Princeton University Press 2001

Simon P. James, *Zen Buddhism and Environmental Ethics*, Routledge (Taylor & Francis) 2017

S.P. Sathe, *Judicial Activism in India*, Oxford University Press 2001

Shyam Divan and Armin Rosencranz, *Environmental Law and Policy in India: Cases and Materials*, Oxford University Press, 2020

Vandana Shiva, *Ecology and the Politics of Survival: Conflicts Over Natural Resources in*

India, Sage Publications 2021

Articles:

Kashmira Dave, "Environmental Ethics in Hindu Scriptures: Ancient Wisdom for Modern Challenges",https://www.researchgate.net/publication/391990242_Environmental_Ethics_in_Hindu_Scriptures_Ancient_Wisdom_for_Modern_Challenges#:~:text=Specific%20scriptural%20injunctions%20promote%20practices,for%20the%20planet's%20well%2Dbeing, (Accessed August 2, 2025)

Mala Chandrashekhara, "Chanakya's Eco-Wisdom: The Arthashastra's Guide to Environmental Management", https://cultureandheritage.org/2024/03/chanakyas-eco-wisdom-the-arthashastras-guide-to-environmental-management.html (Accessed August 3, 2025)

Reena Chandra, "Environmental Conservation in Kautilya Arthasastra", ResearchGate (Accessed August 3, 2025)

Websites:

www.barandbench.com

www. ecologise.in

www.researchgate.net

www.sanatanbrahmand.com

www.scobserver.in

www.unicef.org

www.vedicwaves.wordpress.com