CREATING INTERNATIONAL FINANCIAL CENTRES IN DEVELOPING COUNTRIES: LEGAL AND REGULATORY FRAMEWORK

Mr. Shekhar Tejwani, Manager, IFSC Department, GIFT City, Gandhinagar

ABSTRACT

The establishment of International Financial Centres (IFCs) in developing countries represents a transformative opportunity to accelerate economic growth, attract foreign investment, and integrate into global financial markets. This study investigates the regulatory and legal framework necessary to establish effective IFCs in emerging economies, with a particular focus on comparative analysis of successful models such as Singapore, Dubai, and Mauritius. It highlights critical components such as governance, taxation, dispute resolution, and financial infrastructure. Data-driven insights underscore the role of IFCs in driving employment, deepening financial markets, and generating tax revenues. By analysing GIFT City's model and benchmarking against global IFCs, this paper presents robust arguments for why developing countries must prioritize establishing IFCs.

Keywords: International Financial Centres (IFCs), Developing Countries, Regulatory Framework, GIFT City, Economic Growth, Foreign Investment, Global Financial Markets, Comparative Analysis, Tax Incentives

Introduction

International Financial Centres (IFCs) play a pivotal role in facilitating global economic activity. These hubs specialize in services such as banking, insurance, investment management, and capital markets, creating significant economic benefits for host nations. Established centres like London, New York, and Hong Kong dominate global finance, while emerging IFCs such as Singapore, Dubai, and Mauritius demonstrate how strategic policy interventions can create successful financial ecosystems. For developing countries, creating IFCs presents unique challenges, including regulatory gaps, insufficient infrastructure, and the need for skilled human capital.

The primary rationale for promoting IFC in developing countries is that the potential benefit to the stakeholders and to the country are considerable, and therefore worth the economic, regulatory, administrative, and political effort. The country where the currency is not fully capital account convertible develops a financial centre where the currency flow is not restricted, and transactions are permitted in freely capital account convertible currencies with ease of doing business regulatory framework and tax benefits.

This paper aims to explore the essential regulatory and legal framework required to establish IFCs in developing countries. Using case studies and comparative analyses, it identifies best practices, examines the role of tailored financial systems, and proposes strategies to overcome existing barriers. GIFT City in India serves as a focal case, providing insights into how local and international strategies can intersect to build globally competitive centres.

Objectives

- To identify the essential regulations and legal structures required for an International Financial Centre.

- To assess how existing regulatory and legal frameworks can be reformed or adapted in various developing countries.

- To analyze the impact of International Financial Centers on the local and global economies.

Methodology

This research adopts a mixed-methods approach, combining qualitative analysis of existing IFCs with quantitative benchmarking of their key performance metrics. Data sources include:

1. Case Studies: Detailed examination of Singapore, Dubai, Mauritius, and GIFT City.

2. Expert Interviews: Insights from policymakers, legal experts, and financial regulators.

3. Secondary Research: Review of academic literature, policy documents, and reports from international organizations.

The study evaluates factors such as regulatory alignment with international standards, tax regimes, infrastructure, and dispute resolution mechanisms.

Literature Review

The role of IFCs in fostering global economic integration is well-documented. Wong and Leong (2008) emphasize the importance of robust regulatory frameworks and governance in ensuring investor confidence. Studies by *Batten and Szilagyi (2015)* highlight the necessity of transparent financial systems and tax incentives for developing sustainable IFCs. Meanwhile, Dubai's DIFC and Singapore's MAS showcase how principle-based regulations and legal certainty can attract global businesses.

Developing countries face distinct challenges. *Klein and Ghosh (2017)* underline political instability and weak institutions as significant obstacles, while studies on Mauritius' IFC suggest that aligning local systems with international standards can mitigate these issues. Emerging models, such as Kenya's Nairobi Financial Centre, demonstrate the potential for regional hubs to cater to niche markets.

Comparative Analysis of Global IFCs

Parameter	**Singapore**	**Dubai DIFC**	**Mauritius**	**GIFT City, India**
Regulatory Framework	Principle-based, MAS ensures flexibility and stability	Independent DIFC authority with English common law	Aligned with international standards and dual legal system	Unified IFSCA overseeing activities within GIFT City
Taxation	Competitive, exemptions for fund managers	Tax-free zones for companies	Low corporate tax rates and double taxation treaties	10-year tax holiday for financial entities
Infrastructure	Advanced, robust tech integration	World-class infrastructure	Emerging, growing steadily	Smart city design with high-quality infrastructure
Talent Pool	Skilled workforce, Wealth Management Institute	Regional hub attracting global talent	Limited, but improving	20,000+ fresh graduates annually in the region
Sectoral Focus	Wealth management, fintech	Banking, insurance, asset management	Regional gateway to Africa	Banking, bullion trading, aircraft leasing, fintech
Economic Impact	13% of GDP	Regional growth hub	Catalyst for African integration	Potential $25 billion revenue by 2030 (GIFT Strategy 2024)

Key Findings

Critical Components of Successful IFCs

1. Regulatory Frameworks

- **Principle-Based Regulations**: Singapore's MAS employs flexible, principle-based guidelines that foster innovation while ensuring compliance.

- **Unified Oversight**: Dubai's DIFC operates under a single, independent regulator, reducing regulatory fragmentation.

2. Taxation and Incentives

- Mauritius' tax regime, with low corporate tax rates and double taxation treaties, has positioned it as a gateway to Africa.
- GIFT City's tax exemptions for financial entities demonstrate the importance of competitive tax policies.

3. Governance and Legal Certainty

- English common law forms the backbone of legal systems in Singapore and Dubai, ensuring global investor confidence.
- Robust corporate governance practices, such as transparency and independent audits, are essential for market credibility.

4. Economic Contributions

- **Employment**: Singapore's financial sector employs 220,000 individuals, while Dubai attracts a global workforce.
- **Financial Deepening**: Singapore's financial markets account for 301% of its GDP, indicating robust market integration.
- **Revenue Generation**: GIFT City projects $25 billion in revenue by 2030, with over 150,000 jobs created (GIFT Strategy 2024).

Case Study: GIFT City, India

GIFT City (Gujarat International Finance Tec-City) is India's first operational smart city and its first International Financial Services Centre (IFSC). Established with the vision to create a globally competitive financial hub, GIFT City integrates world-class infrastructure, competitive regulations, and strategic location advantages to position itself as a global financial gateway for India.

Key Features and Achievements

1. **Regulatory Framework**:

- GIFT City operates under the unified oversight of the International Financial Services Centres Authority (IFSCA), which ensures streamlined regulatory processes.

- Its regulatory approach allows for the introduction of innovative financial products, including India's first international bullion exchange and aircraft leasing frameworks.

2. **Tax Incentives**:

- Companies in GIFT City benefit from a 10-year tax holiday on business income, enhancing its attractiveness to global financial institutions.

- Exemptions for alternative investment funds (AIFs) and fund managers further bolster its appeal as an investment hub.

3. **Sectoral Opportunities**:

- Focus areas include international banking, fund management, insurance, fintech, green finance, and reinsurance.

- The city has already attracted over 30 banks, 130+ fund management entities, and multiple fintech startups, creating a vibrant ecosystem.

4. **Economic Impact**:

- GIFT City aims to contribute $25 billion in revenue by 2030 and generate over 200,000 direct and indirect jobs.

- Its strategic initiatives align with India's broader economic goals, including attracting foreign direct investment and boosting exports.

5. **Infrastructure and Connectivity**:

- Designed as a smart city, GIFT City offers state-of-the-art commercial, residential, and social infrastructure.

- Its proximity to Ahmedabad and Gandhinagar ensures seamless connectivity to major domestic and international markets.

Challenges and Future Potential

Despite its successes, GIFT City faces challenges in achieving market depth and competing with established IFCs like Singapore and Dubai. Key areas for development include:

- **Talent Development**: Enhancing the availability of skilled professionals through partnerships with global educational institutions and specialized training programs.
- **Marketing and Branding**: Strengthening its global visibility to attract more international players.
- **Product Innovation**: Expanding its portfolio to include cutting-edge financial products such as green bonds and digital currencies.

By addressing these challenges, GIFT City can solidify its position as a leading IFC in South Asia and contribute significantly to India's economic transformation.

Recommendations

1. **Adopt Flexible Regulatory Frameworks**:

- Balance innovation with compliance by implementing principle-based regulations.
- Establish independent regulatory bodies to ensure stability.

2. **Develop Niche Markets**:

- Focus on sectors like green finance, fintech, and reinsurance.
- Leverage regional strengths to differentiate from established IFCs.

3. **Invest in Infrastructure**:

- Create smart cities with advanced digital and physical infrastructure.

- Build integrated financial ecosystems to support diverse services.

4. **Strengthen Legal Systems**:

- Align with international standards for dispute resolution and corporate governance.

- Enhance investor protection mechanisms.

5. **Foster Human Capital Development**:

- Establish partnerships with global educational institutions.

- Introduce specialized training programs for financial professionals.

Conclusion

The establishment of IFCs in developing countries offers significant opportunities to transform their financial landscapes. Data from global centres underscores their role in creating jobs, driving market integration, and generating substantial tax revenues. By adopting tailored strategies and leveraging local advantages, developing nations can create competitive and sustainable financial hubs. GIFT City exemplifies the potential and hurdles of this journey, showcasing how targeted reforms and investments can position a nation as a global financial leader.

Limitations of study:

While the study highlights the success of international financial institutions, such as Dubai's legal system and Mauritius' tax incentives, there is a risk of overgeneralization. Legal and administrative systems that work well in these regions may not be easily transferable to other developing countries due to differences in political structure, historical practices, leadership and organizational capacity.

REFERENCES

1. Wong, J., & Leong, T. (2008). International Financial Centres: Models and Lessons.

2. Batten, J., & Szilagyi, P. (2015). Regulatory Frameworks for Emerging Markets.

3. GIFT City Strategy Report (2024).

4. Monetary Authority of Singapore (MAS). (2022). Reports and Data.

5. Global Financial Centres Index (GFCI). (2023). Comparative Rankings.

6. Hatayama, M. (2019). The role of regional financial centres for development finance.

7. Shah, D., & Chugan, P. K. (2023). Key Determinants Behind an International Financial Services Centre: An Exploratory Study of India's Maiden IFSC at Gandhinagar, Gujarat. *PDEU Journal of Energy and Management*, 9, 12-19.

8. Jarvis, D. S. (2011). *Race for the money: international financial centres in Asia*. Journal of International Relations and Development, 14, 60-95.

9. Huat, T. C., Lim, J., & Chen, W. (2004, November). Competing international financial centers: a comparative study between Hong Kong and Singapore. In *Paper for Saw Centre for Financial Studies and ISEAS Conference* in November.

10. Sidenko, S. (2022). Modern Trends in the Development of International Financial Centers. *International Economic Policy*, (37), 77-92

11. Naeem, M. M. F. (2023). *What makes an International Financial Centre (IFC) Competitive? An empirical study of the determinants responsible for the competitiveness of an IFC* (Doctoral dissertation, Durham University).

12. Karabulut, T., & Şen, G. (2018). Analysis of macroeconomic factors which affect performance of global finance centers. *Asian Economic and Financial Review*, *8*(1), 63-70.