

---

# CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF HUMAN RIGHTS AND THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM IN INDIA

---

Bhupinder Yadav, Fairfield Institute of Management & Technology College (IP University)

Bhaskar Yadav, GN Group of institutes (Chaudhary Charan Singh University)

Gaurav Yadav, Fairfield Institute of Management & Technology College (IP University)

## ABSTRACT

This research mainly examines the interrelation of human rights and the criminal justice system in India. India's criminal justice system, while based on constitutional and legislative framework, has faced many challenges in protecting and promoting human rights. Problems such as custodial violence, delayed justice, inadequate legal representation, and police excesses raise concerns about the protection of human rights within the system. This research also explain the recent legal reforms and amendments, which recently introduced in 2024, and evaluates their efficacy in addressing these human rights related issues. By study the historical context, legal framework, and institutional mechanisms, the paper provide a comprehensive analysis of the protection and violation of human rights within the Indian criminal justice system.

**Keywords:** Human rights, criminal justice, custodial violence, legal framework, police reforms, prison reforms, India

## **Introduction**

Human rights are absolute rights that are inherent to all individuals, irrespective of their nationality, race, religion, or any other status. The criminal justice system is burdened with the responsibility of safeguarding these rights by assuring fairness, justice, and equality. In India, the protection of human rights within the criminal justice system has been a major issue, given the country's multiple socio-political portrait and rigid legal traditions.

India's criminal justice system is governed by various laws, including the **Constitution of India**, which guarantees fundamental rights, the **Indian Penal Code (IPC), 1860**, the **Code of Criminal Procedure (CrPC), 1973**, and several other statutes that form the legislative pillar. Despite this powerful legal framework, the execution of these laws in practice frequently leads to human rights violations. Issues such as custodial violence, arbitrary detention, police excesses, and judicial delays are major areas of concern that speculate systemic flaws in protecting human rights.

This paper presents a analytical study of the relationship between human rights and the criminal justice system in India. It scrutinize the legal framework governing the protection of human rights, highlights the gaps in implementation, and evaluates the recent reforms aimed at dealing with these issues.

## **Historical Context of Human Rights in India's Criminal Justice System**

India's approach to human rights within the criminal justice system has progress over time, influenced by its colonial heritage, the independence movement, and the drafting of the **Indian Constitution**. The British colonial administration introduced many of the basic laws that still look after the India's criminal justice system, such as the **Indian Penal Code (IPC), 1860**, and the **Police Act, 1861**. These laws were enacted to maintain colonial control and often neglect the rights of the colonized population.

With India's independence in 1947, the **Constitution of India** was adopted in 1950, glorifying fundamental rights that protect citizens from state abundances. The **Right to Life and Personal Liberty** under **Article 21** became a keystone or protecting human rights within the criminal justice system. Articles 14, 19, and 20 also play crucial roles in preserving individuals' rights against unreasonable actions by the state.

However, regardless of these constitutional protections, human rights violations have endured. The legal and institutional framework inherited from the colonial administration continues to influence the functioning of law enforcement and the judiciary. This colonial aftereffects is often cited as a factor contributing to regular abuses, particularly in policing and prison administration.

## **Legal Framework Governing Human Rights in the Criminal Justice System**

India's criminal justice system is valid in both substantive and procedural laws that aim to protect human rights. The **Constitution of India** provides the foundation for these protections, while specific statutes like the **Code of Criminal Procedure (CrPC)** and the **Indian Evidence Act, 1872**, provide the procedures for investigating, trying, and punishing offenses.

### **2.1 Constitutional Protections**

The **Constitution of India** provides several guarantees that are directly related to human rights within the criminal justice system:

- **Article 21:** The Right to Life and Personal Liberty guarantee that no individual can be deprived of their life or personal liberty except according to the procedure established by law. This article has been expansively interpreted by the judiciary to include a wide range of rights, such as the right to a fair trial, the right to legal aid, and protection against torture and custodial violence.
- **Article 22:** This article provides protection against arbitrary arrest and detention. It mandates that individuals arrested must be informed of the grounds for their arrest and have the right to legal counsel. It also assure that individuals are produced before a magistrate within 24 hours of their arrest.
- **Article 20:** This article provides protection against double jeopardy and self-incrimination, safeguard that no individual is tried or punished for the same offense more than once, and that no person can be compelled to testify against themselves.
- **Article 14:** The Right to Equality before the Law ensures that all individuals are treated equally by the state and that no individual is subject to arbitrary discrimination in legal proceedings.

## 2.2 Substantive and Procedural Laws

In addition to constitutional protections, several statutes are vital in safeguarding human rights in the criminal justice system:

- **The Indian Penal Code (IPC), 1860:** This substantive law defines criminal offenses and lay down punishments for those offenses. The IPC covers a wide collection of offenses, from theft and murder to defamation and sedition, and seeks to balance the interests of the state with the rights of individuals.
- **The Code of Criminal Procedure (CrPC), 1973:** This procedural law framework the processes for investigating crimes, making arrests, conducting trials, and executing sentences. It also includes provisions for the protection of human rights, such as the requirement to inform arrested individuals of their rights and the prohibition of lengthy pre-trial detention.
- **The Indian Evidence Act, 1872:** This law governs the admissibility of evidence in criminal proceedings. It plays a pivotal role in ensuring that evidence obtained through unlawful means, such as torture or coercion, is not admitted in court.
- **The Protection of Human Rights Act, 1993:** This act established the **National Human Rights Commission (NHRC)**, tasked with investigating human rights violations, including those which are occurring within the criminal justice system. The NHRC has been instrumental in highlighting cases of custodial violence, wrongful arrests, and other abuses of power.

### Human Rights Concerns in India's Criminal Justice System

Despite the legal framework conceptualized to protect human rights, the Indian criminal justice system is fraught with challenges. Several human rights issues continue to outbreak the system, with custodial violence, arbitrary arrests, delayed trials, and inadequate access to legal representation being some of the most pressing concerns.

### 3.1 Custodial Violence and Torture

Custodial violence remains one of the most important human rights concerns within India's criminal justice system. Incidents of torture, beatings, and deaths in custody are frequently reported, highlighting the universal culture of impunity within the police force. Despite the Supreme Court's directives in the landmark case of **D.K. Basu v. State of West Bengal (1997)**, which laid down guidelines to prevent custodial violence, the problem persists.

The **National Crime Records Bureau (NCRB)** data invariably shows a high number of deaths in police custody, many of which are attributed to "natural causes" or "suicide," though allegations of torture are often raised. The lack of accountability for such violations, combined with a failure to carry out proper oversight mechanisms, has exacerbated the issue.

### **3.2 Arbitrary Arrests and Detention**

Arbitrary arrests, particularly of marginalized communities such as Dalits, Muslims, and Adivasis, remain a critical issue. The exploitation of preventive detention laws, such as the **National Security Act (NSA), 1980**, and the **Unlawful Activities (Prevention) Act (UAPA), 1967**, has led to the prolonged detention of individuals without trial. These laws allow the state to detain individuals on vague grounds of national security or public order, often resulting in human rights violations.

The Supreme Court has reiterated the importance of protecting the right to liberty in cases like **Maneka Gandhi v. Union of India (1978)**, where it was held that any deprivation of liberty must meet the standards of fairness and reasonableness. However, in practice, arbitrary detentions continue to undermine these constitutional guarantees.

### **3.3 Delayed Trials and Access to Justice**

The Indian criminal justice system is notorious for its long delays in the adjudication of cases. Millions of cases are pending across various courts, leading to extended periods of pre-trial detention for the accused. The overburdened judiciary, lack of adequate infrastructure, and procedural inefficiencies contribute to this problem.

In cases like **Hussainara Khatoon v. Home Secretary, State of Bihar (1979)**, the Supreme Court highlights the right to a speedy trial as part of the right to life under Article 21. Despite

this, the reality of delayed justice continues to affect millions of individuals, particularly those from economically disadvantaged backgrounds who are unable to afford legal representation.

### 3.4 Prison Conditions and Overcrowding

India's prisons are identified by overcrowding, poor living conditions, inadequate healthcare, and lack of access to rehabilitation programs. The **Prison Statistics India** report highlights that the occupancy rate in Indian prisons is consistently over 120%, leading to severe strain on facilities and resources. A significant proportion of the prison population consists of undertrial prisoners, many of whom remain incarcerated due to delayed trials.

The **Supreme Court of India**, in **Ramamurthy v. State of Karnataka (1997)**, held that prisoners have fundamental rights that must be respected, including the right to humane living conditions and access to healthcare. However, the failure to implement prison reforms effectively has resulted in continued violations of prisoners' rights.

### Recent Reforms and Legislative Developments

In response to the ongoing human rights concerns, the Indian government has introduced several reforms aimed at addressing methodic flaws within the criminal justice system. The reforms implemented in 2024 are particularly noteworthy for their focus on magnify transparency, accountability, and efficiency within the system.

#### 4.1 The Criminal Law (Amendment) Act, 2024

The **Criminal Law (Amendment) Act, 2024**, introduced significant changes to address issues related to delayed trials, custodial violence, and victim compensation. The key provisions of the Act include:

- **Timelines for Trial Completion:** The Act mandates the completion of criminal trials within specific timelines, particularly for serious offenses such as sexual assault and organized crime. This measure focuses to reduce the backlog of cases and assure speedy justice for victims.
- **Stringent Penalties for Custodial Violence:** The Act introduces stricter penalties for police officers involved in custodial violence, including imprisonment and fines. It also

mandates the installation of CCTV cameras in all police stations and interrogation rooms to monitor the treatment of detainees.

- **Victim Compensation Schemes:** The Act expands the scope of victim compensation schemes, ensuring that victims of serious crimes receive financial and psychological support. This provision seeks to address the often-overlooked needs of victims within the criminal justice process.

#### 4.2 E-Courts and Judicial Reforms

The expansion of the **E-Courts Mission Mode Project** has been a significant reform aimed at improving judicial efficiency and bring down case backlogs. The project involves the digitization of court records, the introduction of online case filing, and the use of virtual hearings. By capitalizing technology, the government aims to streamline judicial processes and strengthen access to justice.

The use of **artificial intelligence (AI)** in legal research and case management is also gaining traction, with AI tools being developed to assist judges in analyzing case law and managing court dockets. These reforms are expected to reduce delays and improve the overall efficiency of the judiciary.

#### 4.3 The Prison Reforms Act, 2024

The **Prison Reforms Act, 2024**, represents a complete effort to address the issues of overcrowding, poor living conditions, and lack of rehabilitation programs in Indian prisons. Key provisions of the Act include:

- **Early Release for Non-Violent Offenders:** The Act introduces law for the early release of non-violent offenders, particularly those convicted of minor offenses, to reduce prison overcrowding.
- **Rehabilitation and Vocational Training:** The Act command the introduction of vocational training programs for inmates, with the goal of facilitating their reintegration into society upon release.

## **Critical Analysis of Reforms and Their Impact**

While the reforms introduced in 2024 represent a significant step toward tackling human rights violations within the criminal justice system, their validity depends on proper implementation and oversight. The **Criminal Law (Amendment) Act, 2024**, for example, introduces stricter penalties for custodial violence, but the lack of independent oversight bodies and the culture of impunity within the police force raise concerns about the enforcement of these provisions.

Similarly, the progression of e-courts and the use of AI in judicial processes are promising developments, but the success of these initiatives will depend on addressing the digital divide and ensuring that vulnerable communities have access to these technological resources.

The **Prison Reforms Act, 2024**, while addressing overcrowding and rehabilitation, must also focus on improving the living conditions and healthcare facilities within prisons. Without addressing these fundamental issues, the Act's provisions may fail to bring about meaningful change.

## **Conclusion**

The protection of human rights within India's criminal justice system remains a critical challenge. Despite a robust legal framework, systemic issues such as custodial violence, delayed trials, arbitrary arrests, and poor prison conditions continue to undermine human rights. The reforms introduced in 2024, particularly the **Criminal Law (Amendment) Act** and the **Prison Reforms Act**, offer a promising path forward, but their success depends on effective implementation and sustained political will.

As India continues to modernize its criminal justice system, the focus must remain on ensuring accountability, transparency, and access to justice for all citizens. The integration of technology, coupled with a commitment to human rights, can play a pivotal role in transforming India's criminal justice system into one that upholds the dignity and rights of every individual.



## References

1. Constitution of India.
2. Indian Penal Code, 1860.
3. Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973.
4. Indian Evidence Act, 1872.
5. The Protection of Human Rights Act, 1993.
6. D.K. Basu v. State of West Bengal (1997).
7. Hussainara Khatoon v. Home Secretary, State of Bihar (1979).
8. Ramamurthy v. State of Karnataka (1997).
9. Maneka Gandhi v. Union of India (1978).
10. National Crime Records Bureau (NCRB) reports.
11. Criminal Law (Amendment) Act, 2024.
12. Prison Reforms Act, 2024.
13. Supreme Court of India judgments on human rights and criminal justice.